Notice of Meeting

at **10.30 am**



We're on Twitter:

@SCCdemocracy

Surrey Police and Crime Panel

Date & time Place Contact

Monday, 21 Woodhatch Place, Julie Armstrong, Scrutiny Officer

November 2022 Reigate, Surrey julie.armstrong@surreycc.gov.uk

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print or braille, or another language please email julie.armstrong@surreycc.gov.uk.

This meeting will be held in public. If you would like to attend and you have any special requirements, please contact Julie Armstrong, Scrutiny Officer on 07816 091463.

Please note that the meeting will also be webcast live, which can be accessed via the Surrey Police and Crime Panel page on the Surrey County Council website.

This page can be accessed by following the link below:

https://mycouncil.surreycc.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.aspx?Cld=250&Year=0

Members

Cllr Hannah Dalton Epsom & Ewell Borough Council
Cllr Paul Kennedy Mole Valley District Council

Cllr Victor Lewanski Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

Cllr Barry Cheyne Elmbridge Borough Council
Cllr John Furey Runnymede Borough Council
Cllr Julia McShane Guildford Borough Council

Cllr Julia McShane Guildford Borough Council
Cllr John Robini (Chairman) Waverley Borough Council

Cllr Valerie White

Cllr Ellen Nicholson

Cllr Settinder Butter

Spettherne Bereugh Council

Cllr Satvinder Buttar Spelthorne Borough Council
Cllr Keith Witham Surrey County Council

Clir Mick Gillman (Vice-Chairman)

Tandridge District Council

Mr Martin Stilwell Independent Member

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

14 COMMISSIONER'S QUESTION TIME

(Pages 1 - 4)

For the Panel to raise any issues or queries concerning crime and policing in Surrey with the Commissioner.

Note:

The deadline for Member's questions is 12.00pm four working days before the meeting (15 September 2022).

Joanna Killian Chief Executive

Published: Wednesday, 16 November 2022

MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING - ACCEPTABLE USE

Those attending for the purpose of reporting on the meeting may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode to send electronic messages about the progress of the public parts of the meeting. To support this, Woodhatch Place has wifi available for visitors – please ask at reception for details.

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at council meetings. Please liaise with the council officer listed in the agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to the PA or Induction Loop systems, or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

It is requested that if you are not using your mobile device for any of the activities outlined above, it be switched off or placed in silent mode during the meeting to prevent interruptions and interference with PA and Induction Loop systems.

Thank you for your co-operation

Note: This meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site - at the start of the meeting the Chairman will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be used for training purposes within the Council.

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the representative of Legal and Democratic Services at the meeting.

Runnymede's full Council meeting on 20 October 2022 debated a motion about tackling discrimination against women, girls, men and boys and considering acts of misogyny and misandry a hate crime.

1. As the Police & Crime Commissioner has identified this Committee as the most appropriate forum to discuss the matter, could full details be provided about Surrey Police's current action plan to tackle discrimination against women, girls, men and boys, what further steps can be taken and how Runnymede can support these steps at a local level.

Cllr John Furey, Runnymede Borough Council



1. Would the Police and Crime Commissioner set out her views regarding the issues of organised "road racing" at locations across the County and the response re any discussions she has had with Surrey Police regarding this ongoing problem that greatly concerns residents in the roads affected.

Cllr Keith Witham, Surrey County Council



Many residents of Tandridge know I am a member of the Police and Crime Panel and as a result I have been asked by several why more action is not being taken by the police with the protesters that block the M25? The disruption at J6 on the M25 has a significant impact over the whole of the Tandridge district. I have found it impossible to provide them with a satisfactory answer to explain when laws are being broken the police are not acting. I have been quoted laws by residents that make it clear it is an offence to block the public highway but no action has been taken and there have even been pictures of the police handing water to those blocking the road instead of moving them on and arresting them. Residents expect the police to apply the law without fear or favour and there is strong feeling that lack of decisive action by police when the protests started have only encouraged more protests as those involved feel they can get away with this. Can I have an assurance that you will be using all your influence with the police to insist they now apply a zero-tolerance approach to any protesters who block or disrupt the highway?

Cllr Mick Gillman, Tandridge District Council



The recent HMICFRS report on vetting, misconduct, and misogyny in the police service contained shocking findings about police forces across the country. Those findings included cases where new and transferred officers and staff had not been properly vetted, where cases of misconduct had not been properly dealt with, and where a culture of misogyny, sexism and predatory behaviour towards female officers and staff, and members of the public, still exists and is even prevalent in many forces. In light of this report:

- 1. How confident is the PCC that Surrey Police is addressing the concerns raised in the report so as to provide assurance to the public that the officers and staff they deal with meet the high standards expected of Surrey Police; and to female officers and staff that they will not be subjected to misogyny, sexism and predatory behaviour by their male colleagues?
- 2. In relation to vetting, is the PCC satisfied with Surrey Police's
- a) performance against agreed service levels for vetting officers and staff;
- b) progress in tackling backlogs in vetting officers and staff;
- c) programme for re-vetting officers and staff?

Cllr Paul Kennedy, Mole Valley District Council

