DRAFT

Minutes of the meeting of the
Tandridge LOCAL COMMITTEE
held at 2.30 pm on 23 March 2016
at Tandridge District Council Offices, Station Road East, Oxted, Surrey, RH8 0BT.

Surrey County Council Members:

* Mr Nick Skellett CBE (Chairman)
* Mr Michael Sydney (Vice-Chairman)
* Mr David Hodge
* Mrs Sally Ann B Marks
* Mr John Orrick
* Mrs Helena Windsor

* In attendance

44/16 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE  [Item 1]

No apologies were received.

Due to County Business Mr Hodge and Mrs Marks arrived after item 4.

45/16 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING  [Item 2]

The minutes of the previous meetings held on the 11 December 2015 were agreed as an accurate record of the meeting.

46/16 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST  [Item 3]

None received.

47/16 PETITIONS  [Item 4]

One petition was received from Mrs Donna Carman regarding the proposed closure of St Silvan’s Car park, Staffhurst wood, Oxted signed by 500 residents.

The petition and response were tabled at the meeting. Since the petition deadline, in a discussion between local residents, Surrey Wildlife Trust and Surrey County Council it had been agreed to keep the car park open.

Mrs Carman wished to thank all those who have helped keep the 8 space car park open. She would continue to work with Surrey Wildlife Trust to find an alternative future funding source.

The Chairman thanked Mrs Carman for attending the meeting and for the work she had done to keep the car park open for users.
48/16  FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS  [Item 5]

2 formal public questions were received.

Question 1

Mr Clark of Grange Road, Caterham submitted a question on parking on the road. Mr Clark was unable to attend. Mrs Marks, the Divisional Member felt that it would be appropriate to monitor after one year to see if there had been an impact.

Question 2

Nutfield Parish Council were unable to attend the meeting but had been provided with a written response to their question regarding the request for 20mph on Mid Street. Divisional Member Mrs Windsor, queried when the bollards would be in place outside the village hall? The Principal Highways Engineer advised that it should be completed this financial year. With regards to the speed survey Mrs Windsor advised that she was looking forward to seeing the results.

49/16  MEMBERS QUESTIONS  [Item 6]

Three Member Questions were formally submitted.

Question 1

Mr Sydney raised a question regarding the footway programme, and the roads in the Tandridge area. Mr Sydney had no further questions, but the Chairman and Vice Chairman wished to thank the Leader for this initiative. Mr Hodge advised that 61 of the 81 Divisions in the County would benefit from the programme next year. Officers have made decision for this programme based each footway category. Members asked if it would be possible to discuss this at a private meeting as to what they footways they would like on the list. Mrs Windsor suggested it may be helpful for Members to input local knowledge.

Question 2

Mr Sydney raised a question regarding his concerns for the A22/A264 and an Expression of Interest being submitted for the LEP fund. He noted the response however would like confirmation that the scheme would definitely be on the list. He requested the Committee asked the Asset Management Team to confirm if the A22/A264 is on the list and what objective do they have for the junction as the closing date for Expressions of Interests is the 31 March 2016.

Question 3
Mr Skellett asked a question regarding the option of rumble strips on Titsey Road. Mr Skellett thanked officers for the response and was in agreement that rumble strips could only be placed on the north side. The Area Highways Manager advised that rumble strips can be noisy and asked if he would be happy if the team looked at other options as well such as white lining. The Chairman allowed local resident Mr Haffner to speak during this item. Mr Haffner was concerned that the lines would not reduce speeds as much as the rumble strips as drivers react to the noise. Mr Skellett noted his concerns and asked the Highways team to look in to all options.

50/16 LOCAL COMMITTEE DECISION TRACKER (INFORMATION ITEM) [Item 7]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Sarah Woodworth, Community Partnership and Committee Officer

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Chairman introduced the item explaining that this would be a public document that would track actions agreed by the Committee which would not be captured in recommendations of reports. For example actions arising from petitions or public questions.

Members Discussion – Key Points

- Mr Sydney updated all on his meeting with the Senior Countryside Access Officer regarding a request from Horne Parish Council to make Bridleway Open to All Traffic (BOAT) 329 in Horne to a bridleway. He explained that this could not be done for legal reasons however he has agreed to purchase two signs to show drivers/users the risk involved in using the bridleway. The Parish Council seemed happy with this option as a way forward. Mrs Windsor asked to be kept informed with any developments as also affects her Division.

51/16 MEMBERS ALLOCATIONS SUMMARY (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 8]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Sarah Woodworth, Community Partnership and Committee Officer

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

Members Discussion – Key Points

- Members agreed to note the report.
- Mrs Marks stated she was pleased to see that all Members had spent their allocation in their community to benefit a range of causes. It was requested that the Community Partnership Team report to the public the excellent projects that have been given money in the financial year. Mr Orrick echoed this request.
- Mr Hodge agreed that it was important to show that in a time when the Council are needing to make a £108m worth of savings next financial year that Members Allocations makes a big difference to communities locally.
• Mr Skellett asked Highways to confirm figures with regards to funding for Highways England and Local Councils. 96% of the highway network is to be maintained by Local Councils, however are allocated £6bn. Highways England maintain approximately 4% yet are allocated £19bn.
• Mr Skellett asked the Committee if they would support him in a discussion with the Alzheimer’s Society to set up a ‘Singing for the Brain’ group in the north of Tandridge. The Committee agreed.
• Mr Sydney encouraged local communities to apply to the Gatwick Airport Community Trust fund. Applications from the Tandridge area are very low and it could benefit local projects.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

i). NOTED the Members’ Allocation applications received and amounts spent, where indicated, as set out in Annex 1 of this report.

52/16 TRADING STANDARDS ANNUAL UPDATE (INFORMATION REPORT) [Item 9]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Charlotte Keene, Senior Trading Standards Officer

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Chairman welcomed the Officer to the meeting, asking to highlight key areas in the report.

The Officer stated that since submitting the report Surrey and Buckinghamshire Trading Standards had received two awards in the IESE Awards 2016 awarded by the National Improvement and Efficiency Social Enterprise.

Buckinghamshire and Surrey Trading Standards won two silver awards for the Remodelling Local Services and the Working Together categories.

The judges recognised that, by sharing collective experience and expertise, Buckinghamshire and Surrey Trading Standards had successfully redesigned services into a more efficient joint operation, giving consumers access to stronger safeguards and providing businesses with better services at a lower cost to the taxpayer.

The Officer explained her role was to support the Tandridge Members and happy to assist with matters affecting residents in their Divisions.

Members Discussion – Key Points

• Mr Hodge asked if the Officer could look in 260 Hillbury Road as he and local residents are concerned that business or a number of businesses are being run from the property. This is against the planning application which was approved that this would be a residential property. The Officer advised she would look into this matter and advise Mr Hodge.
Mr Orrick raised concern over a car company on Coulsdon Road, Caterham which rents vans and cars. Although the vehicles are taxed the business parks the cars on the road which means that residents cannot park outside their own properties. He asked if anything could be done as causing an issue for residents. The Officer advised she would be happy to discuss with the Member.

Mrs Marks commented that she was surprised to see so few Eat out, eat well in Tandridge.

Mr Skellett referred to the data for the scams hub in 1.5 of the report, asking who captures this data. The Officer advised that since the report was submitted the figure for Tandridge is more likely to be around 20 scam victims. The data is captures by the National Scam Team who provide the information to Surrey. This is intelligence lead where by companies are identified and their post intercepted.

Mr Orrick thanked the Officer for the email updates on Trading Standards matters as were very helpful.

The Chairman thanked the Officer for the report and congratulated the team on the two awards. Mrs Marks echoed this and asked that next year would it be possible to have more outcomes in the report and detail so the committee could see what had happened as a consequence of Trading Standards intervention.

Resolution:

The Local Committee (Tandridge)

NOTED that Buckinghamshire and Surrey Trading Standards Service:

(i) Reacts to any local issues specifically drawn to Buckinghamshire and Surrey Trading Standards in respect of Tandridge consumer issues.
(ii) Responds to any Trading Standards and consumer issues highlighted by intelligence gathering and reporting. This routinely includes the Tandridge local area in any project and routine undertakings including test purchasing and sampling as appropriate.
(iii) Responds to business enquiries and bespoke/chargeable requests from businesses based in Tandridge focusing on Small/Medium Enterprises (SME) and national businesses. Buckinghamshire and Surrey Trading Standards actively promotes membership to the Better Regulation Delivery Office Primary Authority Partnership scheme.
(iv) The following is for information.

53/16 SURREY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE- ANNUAL REPORT (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 10]

Declarations of Interest: None
Officer attending: Mark Barrett, Acting Borough Commander (Tandridge)

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Chairman welcomed the Officer to the meeting and asked him to highlight key areas of the report.

The Officer explained percentages in Annex 1 in terms of figures and what this meant in real terms for fire data in Tandridge. The actual figure for fires in dwellings with no smoke detection is 13 homes in Tandridge. With regards to malicious false alarms the total for the year was seven, however against the target this is red.

The Fire and Rescue Service in Tandridge are dealing with more rescue and road traffic incidents call outs than fires. The Officer stressed that Tandridge is a very safe District and very well equipped to deal with all incidents. A 4x4 Landrover vehicle is now stationed at both Oxted and Godstone, with a new water carrier coming to Godstone. The Incident Response Unit has been removed from Godstone however Surrey has stationed an Incident Support Unit to assist with incidents which will need this equipment. The Officer explained that the Fire and Rescue service are working with the Ambulance service on a co-responders project to improve the quality of service and outcomes for patients at an incident.

Members Discussion – Key points

- Mrs Marks raised concerns regarding the removal of the Incident Response Unit especially with the proximity of Gatwick and the M25. The Officer advised that Surrey could still contribute during an incident however it is a different configuration.
- Mrs Windsor was pleased to hear that the Support Unit was being retained at Godstone and more than happy for her details to be passed to the Firefighters in Godstone who wish to contact her as their Divisional Member.
- Mr Hodge advised that Surrey has some of the best equipment in Europe and compared with some of the London Brigades, Surrey is able to deal with incidents quickly and efficiently due to the equipment.
- Mr Orrick asked do we know how many homes in Tandridge do not have a smoke detector? The Officer estimated that it was approximately 10% however work is being done with visits with Adult Social Care to reduce this figure.
- The Chairman thanked the Officer for attending and the report.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

(i) RECOGNISED the achievements of the District teams within Tandridge District and support their commitment to improve initiatives to reduce risk and make Tandridge District safer through the delivery of the District/station plan.

(ii) NOTED the targets and initiatives set within the Tandridge District plan for 2014/15 and support the Fire and Rescue Service in the delivery of this plan.
SUPPORTED the achievements of all the personnel at Godstone, Lingfield and Oxted and acknowledge the availability offered by employers who release staff, and those who are self employed.

54/16 SCHOOL TRAVEL PLAN REPORT (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 11]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Luke Forshaw, School Travel Planning Project Officer

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Officer explained that his role is to work with schools across the county on their travel plans. This is the first time a report has come to the Local Committee and can continue on an annual basis. The report is a public document to encourage more schools to travel sustainably. This report relates to the 2014/15 school year, in order to show a full year of data. Members are asked to support local schools in travelling sustainably from home to school.

Members Discussion – Key points

- Mr Orrick asked why Audley Primary school, Caterham did not appear on the list for the Golden boot challenge as in previous years they had always been involved. The Officer advised that he would contact the school to make enquiries if they would like to take part this year.
- Mr Hodge asked if there was any cost benefit to residents of Surrey in having a ‘School Travel Plan’. The Officer advised that due to this being the first year of capturing data we are unable to compare data, however he would produce a report for Members.
- Mr Skellett referred to Oxted County School, Oxted which is now an academy and one of the biggest schools in the County attended by 2300 pupils. Within 15 minutes of the end of the school day, the roads are clear. A number of pupils take the train to school, walk or catch the bus or coaches which leave from the back of the school. Although the information is not captured in the report, this is dealt with efficiently.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

(i) NOTED School Travel Plans (Tandridge) – Progress Report

55/16 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES END OF YEAR 2015/16 UPDATE REPORT (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 12]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager (South East) and Anita Guy, Principle Engineer.

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None
The Officer presented the report drawing attention to the ITS improvement schemes that had been progressed in 2015/16 which are listed in 2.2 of the report.

Members Discussion – Key points

- Mrs Marks said that she suspected that the increase in complaints shown in table 3 of the report would be linked to the works on the A22 and she completely understood the frustrations of local residents. Mrs Marks asked if a sign could be put up at Wapses Lodge Roundabout to show any delays as once you commit to travelling southbound there is no exit and it is too late to find an alternative route. There is currently a sign however it is displaying a ‘test message’ and not local information. The Officer explained that this was a Strategic Sign for wider network benefits with four signs placed in a diamond shape (with other signs being in Reigate and Banstead) to give information on large events which may affect a journey.

- Mrs Marks also commented on local concern/complaints regarding the phasing of the lights on the M25/A22 roundabout. The Officer explained that this was due to a fault which is intermittent and Highways England is dealing with the matter. The Members agreed that a letter should be sent from the Local Committee to express their concern that this matter is taking so long to resolve.

- Mr Hodge thanked Surrey Officers for the work they have done this year. With regards to the capital ITS improvement scheme, Farleigh Road/Harrow Road Warlingham, Mr Hodge stated that it is important to inform residents of the correct date and there are concerns that the signs are incorrect.

- Mr Hodge referred to the scheme for Tithepit Shaw Lane, Hamsey Green. Mr Hodge asked whether it would be appropriate for Lidl to contribute to the scheme, due to the proximity of their proposed supermarket development. The Officer advised that a conversation would need to be had with the Transport Development Planning Team.

- Mr Sydney asked if he could have the details for Blackberry Lane and Crowhurst Road, Lingfield localism initiative in table 1 of the report.

- Mrs Windsor asked with regards to the works on the A22, what are the guarantees from the contractor? The Officer advised that she would confirm with the project Horizon Team however she believes it is a 10 year guarantee.

- Mrs Windsor wished thank the team from Outwood Parish Council and Nutfield Parish Council for the work on speed limits that have been carried out this year.

- On behalf of all the Committee the Chairman thanked all the officers in the Highway team for their hard work.

56/16 REVISED HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME 2016/17 AND 2017/18 (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION) [Item 13]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officer attending: Zena Curry, Highways Area Manager (South East) and Anita Guy, Principle Engineer

Petition, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Officer advised that there had been a minor change as a reduction in figures as shown in Annex 2 since the report was agreed at the meeting on the 11 December 2014.
Members Discussion – Key points

- Mrs Marks raised concern that a reduction was taken from the drainage budget. The Officer advised that Virement rules would allow for the Chairman and Vice Chairman to move money from each area as necessary during the year. The Committee was further advised that the Maintenance Engineer also has a small centrally funded budget for drainage works.
- Mr Skellett highlighted that money is also held centrally for different works and programmes in Tandridge. Members asked if this information could be brought together and made available so Members could see where money had been allocated.
- Mr Sydney commented that he had been to several meetings recently and residents had commented that they felt Surrey County Council were using tax payers money wisely to improve services.

Resolution

The Local Committee (Tandridge):

(i) NOTED the contents of the report;
(ii) AGREED that the capital Integrated Transport Schemes and Local Structural Repair budgets be revised, as set out in Annex 1 and para. 2.1 of this report; and
(iii) AGREED that the revenue maintenance budget be revised, as set out in Annex 2 of this report.

Meeting ended at: 4.30 pm

______________________________________________________________
Chairman
SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL
LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)

DATE: 23 March 2016

SUBJECT: PETITION – Closure of St Silvan’s Car Park, Staffhurst wood

DIVISION: OXTED

SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

To consider a petition containing 32 signatures – by Mrs Donna Carman
A further 462 signatures have been collected through the online petition site 38
degrees.

'We the undersigned ask Surrey County Council to not to close "St Silvans" car park
NOT even seasonally.

Please help keep this valuable facility open. This car park enables people with
children and dogs etc to be able to disembark from their vehicles safely away from
moving traffic on the highway. Staffhurst wood is a very popular woods with locals
and with visitors from further afield. Offering it's natural beauty all year round (not
just bluebell season) it is perfect for walkers, families, runners and dog walkers alike.
The woods also have a lot of history as in the war it hid Canadian air men as there
was an ammunitions factory in Hurst Green.
Although there is another car park, it is the other side of the woods and feels a little
bit too remote. Parking in this car park is also made more difficult by the trees
growing in the middle of the parking area.

The closure of this car park according to Surrey Wildlife Trust is due to the
maintenance and up keep costs. Please help keep this car park open and everyone
still able to enjoy our great British woodland in safety.

RESPONSE:

Seasonal closure of car park: A closure of St Sylvan’s car park at Staffhurst Wood
was implemented in February 2016. The closure was proposed to be a seasonal
one, and the car park was re-opened on 14 March in anticipation of the increased
visitor numbers traditionally experienced at the site during the spring bluebell
flowering time.

Background: Staffhurst Wood, Limpsfield is owned by Surrey County Council (SCC)
and forms part of its Countryside Estate. Surrey Wildlife Trust (SWT) manages the
Estate, including its car parks, under an Agreement for Services for which we receive
a grant from SCC.

With significant reductions in that overall grant SWT agreed a savings plan with SCC
in 2014. This was included as an annex to a report to SCC Cabinet on 16 December
2014.
One component of that plan has been to close some of the smaller car parks on the Estate where there are alternative car parks nearby. This is the case at Staffhurst Wood where the two car parks are approximately 700 metres apart.

The cost of managing and maintaining a small car park on the SCC Countryside Estate is typically around £1000 per annum. Costs are incurred from litter picking, periodic clearance of fly tip and repairs following vandalism. Longer term costs will be incurred from surfacing repairs, tree works and replacement of signage.

**Local concerns**: SWT is very aware of local concerns about the seasonal closure of the car park. On 2 March 2016 SWT met representatives of the online petition to listen to those concerns and explore potential alternative options for funding upkeep of the car park.

Following that meeting SWT has written to Limpsfield Parish Council to enquire if the Parish would be willing to contribute to upkeep costs.

SWT understands that some individuals have expressed a willingness to contribute financially to maintain the car park. We are grateful for these offers and are interested in the viability of a donation scheme that could underpin maintenance of the car park. Exploration of this option is ongoing and the car park will remain open for the time being pending identification of an alternative funding solution.

**Contact Officer:**
Rod Edbrooke, Countryside Partnerships Team Leader, Surrey County Council
Mr Piers Clark, of Grange Road Caterham asks

‘Will the Committee support the early introduction of RESTRICTED parking (as opposed to no parking) along the WHOLE (as opposed to part) of Grange Road, Caterham especially in light of resident comments submitted during the public consultation carried out last year and reasons the Local Committee is fully aware of from discussions at previous Local Committee meetings ?”. I have attached a very recent photograph of a car parked over the drop kerb in front of my house as another example.’

Response:

The proposed restrictions in the Grange are a mix of double yellow lines (no waiting at any time) with unrestricted gaps to allow some parking between driveways. By allowing some parking in the road it will also help to reduce traffic speeds.

Following the statutory consultation we reviewed the comments and objections made about this location and adjusted the proposals to make sure the parking spaces were positioned well away from driveways. When implemented they would prevent the situation shown in the photo above.
We do feel that the proposed scheme offers a solution to the problems cited by residents and, as described above, we decided to extend some of the sections of yellow line in light of the comments received. The proposals in Grange Road are consistent with those in nearby roads.

As we have stated previously, we do not think it desirable to introduce a ‘curfew’ parking scheme as this will have the effect of displacing an undue number of vehicles to surrounding roads.

We are satisfied that the scheme will mitigate any safety issues the residents have expressed resulting from the current parking within the road. However, after a year or so with the new arrangements in place, we can review the road again to see if any further adjustments are required.

This plan shows the proposals to be implemented in April.
SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL  
LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)  

DATE: 23 March 2016  
SUBJECT: Public Question  
DIVISION: Godstone  

Nutfield Parish Council ask:  

On 25th September Nutfield Parish Council raised a question about having a 20 mph limit outside Nutfield Church School in Mid Street, South Nutfield and the Day Nursery in Church Hill, Nutfield.  

We were informed that Surrey County Council Highways would commence work on 20 mph limits in both locations. As part of this process, Nutfield Parish Council formally request an update on the speed surveys (2015/16) and confirmation of when these schemes will be implemented.

Response:  

An initial review of Church Hill showed that a reduction in the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph would not comply with Surrey’s Policy ‘Setting Local Speed Limits’. The policy states that “The length of road over which a speed limit change is being considered should be at least 600m. This should ensure against too many speed limit changes that could be confusing to the motorist over a short space of road.” The length of Church Hill between the A25 High Street and the 30mph terminal signs immediately south of the entrance to Nutfield Court is approximately 350m. As this length of road is significantly shorter than specified in the policy, Officers are not proposing to progress a speed limit reduction in Church Hill. It should also be noted that existing traffic speeds outside the Day Nursery are likely to be low due to a combination of the proximity of the junction with the A25 High Street and the level of on-street car parking.  

Unfortunately the speed survey on Mid Street was not carried out before the winter. It is best practice not to carry out speed surveys during the winter months as wet and/or icy road conditions can lead to unrepresentative speeds being recorded, as vehicles tend to travel more slowly on wet or icy roads than on dry roads. This survey has been rescheduled for the beginning of the financial year 2016/17, and the results will be reported to the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of Tandridge Local Committee, and to the divisional member.  

A site meeting as detailed in the ‘Road Safety Outside Schools’ Policy was held on 14 January 2016 attended by Officers from the Sustainable Travel Team, Road Safety Team and Area Highways Team. No issues relating to traffic speeds were identified at the meeting and no recommendations were made either to reduce the speed limit, or to introduce any other engineering measures in the report of the meeting.

Contact Officer: Philippa Gates, Assistant Engineer
This page is intentionally left blank
Michael Sydney, Divisional Member for Lingfield asks with regards to the footway programme, please could the Committee be provided with information on:

- The prioritisation process
- How much funding has been allocated to Tandridge for next financial year
- A list of which roads will be completed

Response:

Prioritising: More than 200 miles of Surrey’s pavements will be rebuilt as part of a £20 million county council scheme to help boost shopping centres and improve access to key community services.

The scheme was unveiled by leader David Hodge at the Full Council meeting on 15 March. It will last up to six years and focus on the pavements most in need of repair.

Road maintenance schemes are prioritised using a number of criteria including traffic volumes, types of usage and condition of the roads. These priorities are based upon inspections, surveys, known defects and accident data.

Schemes on our pavement maintenance programmes are prioritised using a number of criteria including usage, condition (e.g. broken paving slabs, tree/weed damage, potholes, issues with kerbs) and claims. These priorities are based upon inspections, surveys, known defects and customer complaint and claims data.

All schemes are held on rolling programmes and an annual priority list is created from this. Our rating system ensures that the available funding is used on the roads and pavements that are in greatest need of treatment in a fair and consistent manner across the county.


Funding for Tandridge: The estimated funding allocated to the Tandridge footway programme for 2016/17 totals £314k.

The roads that will be completed are:
### ITEM 6
Question 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rd number</th>
<th>Road name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A22</td>
<td>GODSTONE ROAD</td>
<td>CATERHAM</td>
<td>Caldicot Court to opp Paddock Barn</td>
<td>Caterham Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A22</td>
<td>CATERHAM BY-PASS</td>
<td>CATERHAM</td>
<td>Tillingdon Lane to Greenhill Avenue public footpath</td>
<td>Caterham Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2028</td>
<td>NEWCHAPEL ROAD</td>
<td>LINGFIELD</td>
<td>W3 of Pollards Farm to W/B of Rowlands Farm</td>
<td>Lingfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C87</td>
<td>CHAPEL ROAD</td>
<td>SMALLFIELD</td>
<td>Barrington to Village Hall / Graveyard</td>
<td>Lingfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1432</td>
<td>HARESTONE VALLEY ROAD</td>
<td>CATERHAM</td>
<td>Colburn Avenue to o/s CD</td>
<td>Caterham Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1432</td>
<td>HARESTONE VALLEY ROAD</td>
<td>CATERHAM</td>
<td>Colburn Avenue to Shermere Court</td>
<td>Caterham Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOME HILL</td>
<td>CATERHAM</td>
<td>Harestone Valley Road to start of private rd</td>
<td>Caterham Valley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Officer: Daniel Squibb, Asset Data and Survey Team Leader 01483 517304
Michael Sydney, Divisional Member for Lingfield asks with regards to the Coast to Capital LEP scheme.

Following the response to my Members Question at the December Committee meeting, and the letter from Lucy Monie, I would once again like to express my concerns for the A264/A22 junction at Felbridge and on behalf of the Committee ask for a list of schemes in the Tandridge area for the potential Growth Deal 3.

Response:

Expressions of Interest (EoI’s) for Growth Deal 3 are currently being developed. In the Tandridge area we are working on an EoI based around the A22 Strategic Corridor, the detail is still being worked on, but it is likely to include a review of the A264/A22 junction.

We are also working on an Expression of Interest covering Flood Alleviation work across the C2C area.

Local Committee Chairs will be updated in April on the Expressions of Interest that are submitted.

Officer: Amanda Richards, Asset Systems and Strategy Team Manager
Nick Skellett, Divisional Member for Oxted asks, following a recent accident on Titsey Road, could Highways look into the option of installing rumble strips on a section of the road as you enter Limpsfield Village?

Response:

A Vehicle Activated Sign (VAS) has been installed to remind southbound drivers to slow down as they enter the 30mph speed limit on Titsey Road. Other measures to improve road safety and reduce vehicle speeds on the approach to the 30mph speed limit have been proposed, but there was insufficient funding available to progress this in 2015/16.

Work will commence at the start of the new financial year to investigate the option of installing rumble strips on the approach to the 30mph speed limit. Careful consideration will need to be given to their location to ensure that whilst they are effective in reducing vehicle speeds, they do not create a noise nuisance for local residents. It is anticipated that any measures agreed with the divisional Member will be implemented during the summer 2016. Officers will keep the divisional Member updated on progress.