

## PLANNING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEE NOTICE OF MEETING

Date:Wednesday, 25 September 2024Time10.30 amPlace:Council Chamber, Woodhatch Place, 11 Cockshot Hill, Reigate, Surrey,<br/>RH2 8EF

Contact: Joss Butler

Email:joss.butler@surreycc.gov.ukPhone:07929745197[For queries on the content of the agenda and requests for copies of related documents]

## APPOINTED MEMBERS [11]

Ernest Mallett MBE Jeffrey Gray Victor Lewanski Scott Lewis Catherine Powell Jeremy Webster Edward Hawkins (Chairman) John Robini Richard Tear (Vice-Chairman) Jonathan Hulley Chris Farr West Molesey; Caterham Valley; Reigate; Woodham and New Haw; Farnham North; Caterham Hill; Heatherside and Parkside; Haslemere; Bagshot, Windlesham and Chobham; Foxhills, Thorpe & Virginia Water; Godstone;

## EX OFFICIO MEMBERS (NON-VOTING) [4]

Saj Hussain Tim Oliver Tim Hall Denise Turner-Stewart

Chair of the Council Leader of the Council Vice-Chair of the Council Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Customer and Communities Knaphill and Goldsworth West; Weybridge; Leatherhead and Fetcham East; Staines South and Ashford West;

## APPOINTED SUBSTITUTES [09]

Stephen Cooksey	Dorking South and the Holmwoods;
Nick Darby	The Dittons;
Amanda Boote	The Byfleets;
David Harmer	Waverley Western Villages;
Trefor Hogg	Camberley East;
Riasat Khan	Woking North;
Mark Sugden	Hinchley Wood, Claygate and Oxshott;
Buddhi Weerasinghe	Lower Sunbury and Halliford;
Fiona White	Guildford West;
Keith Witham	Worplesdon;
Luke Bennett	Banstead, Woodmansterne & Chipstead;
Harry Boparai	Sunbury Common & Ashford Common;

# Register of planning applications: http://planning.surreycc.gov.uk/

#### AGENDA

## 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

To receive any apologies for absence and notices of substitutions under Standing Order 41.

## 2 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

(Pages 1 - 10)

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 26 June 2024.

## 3 PETITIONS

To receive any petitions from members of the public in accordance with Standing Order 84 (please see note 5 below).

#### 4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

To answer any questions received from local government electors within Surrey in accordance with Standing Order 85 (please see note 6 below).

#### 5 MEMBERS' QUESTION TIME

To answer any questions received from Members of the Council in accordance with Standing Order 68.

## 6 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

All Members present are required to declare, at this point in the meeting or as soon as possible thereafter

- (i) Any disclosable pecuniary interests and / or
- (ii) Other interests arising under the Code of Conduct in respect of any item(s) of business being considered at this meeting

#### NOTES:

- Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest
- As well as an interest of the Member, this includes any interest, of which the Member is aware, that relates to the Member's spouse or civil partner (or any person with whom the Member is living as a spouse or civil partner)
- Members with a significant personal interest may participate in the discussion and vote on that matter unless that interest could be reasonably regarded as prejudicial.

## 7 SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL PROPOSAL RE24/00028/CON -SITE OF FORMER COLEBROOK AND SPECTRUM NOKE DAY CENTRES, NOKE DRIVE, REDHILL, SURREY RH1 1PT

(Pages 11 - 72)

Outline application for the erection of part 1, 4, 5 and 6 storey building for extra care accommodation, comprising self-contained apartments, staff and communal facilities, and associated parking with access from St Annes Drive and Noke Drive. Appearance and landscaping reserved.

#### 8 SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL PROPOSAL RU.24/0071 -FORMER BIRCHLANDS CARE HOME, BARLEY MOW ROAD, ENGLEFIELD GREEN, EGHAM, TW20 0NP

Outline application for the erection of a part single storey, part 3 storey building (with additional basement) for extra care accommodation, comprising self-contained apartments, staff and communal facilities and associated parking, including new vehicle access from Barley Mow Road. Appearance and landscaping reserved.

#### 9 MINERALS/WASTE APPLICATION RE18/02667/CON - REPORT ON OUTCOME OF THE CONSIDERATION OF THIS MATTER BY THE SUPREME COURT (HORSE HILL WELL SITE, HORSE HILL, HOOKWOOD, HORLEY, SURREY RH6 0HN)

On 11 September 2019, the Planning and Regulatory Committee approved planning application Ref. RE18/02667/CON for the retention and extension of an existing well site, HH1 and HH2 wells, and vehicular access to allow: the drilling of four new hydrocarbon wells and one water reinjection well; the construction of a process and storage area and tanker loading facility; new boundary fencing; well maintenance workovers and sidetrack drilling; and ancillary development enabling the production of hydrocarbons from six wells, for a period of 25 years at Horse Hill well site, Horse Hill, Hookwood, Horley, Surrey RH6 0HN. The planning permission was issued on 27 September 2019.

A claim for judicial review was subsequently submitted by a Surrey resident on behalf of the Weald Action Group. The claim was considered by the High Court and the Court of Appeal, both of which concluded that the County Council acted lawfully in not considering the downstream carbon emissions from the oil produced at the site.

The resident was then granted permission to take the matter to the Supreme Court where it was heard on 21st and 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2023. The judgement was handed down on 20<sup>th</sup> June 2024. The Supreme Court decided, by a majority of 3 to 2, that the County Council acted unlawfully by not considering the indirect, downstream emissions of the oil when burnt.

This report provides the details of the outcome of the decision of the Supreme Court and the implications for the Council.

## **10 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Planning & Regulatory Committee will be on 30 October 2024.

Terence Herbert Chief Executive 17 September 2024

(Pages 73 -134)

(Pages 135 -140)

## MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING – ACCEPTABLE USE

Those attending for the purpose of reporting on the meeting may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode to send electronic messages about the progress of the public parts of the meeting. To support this, County Hall has wifi available for visitors – please ask at reception for details.

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at council meetings. Please liaise with the council officer listed in the agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to the PA or Induction Loop systems, or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

It is requested that if you are not using your mobile device for any of the activities outlined above, it be switched off or placed in silent mode during the meeting to prevent interruptions and interference with PA and Induction Loop systems.

## Thank you for your co-operation

**Note:** This meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site - at the start of the meeting the Chairman will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be used for training purposes within the Council.

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the representative of Legal and Democratic Services at the meeting

# NOTES:

- 1. Members are requested to let the Democratic Services Officer have the wording of any motions and amendments not later than one hour before the start of the meeting.
- 2. Substitutions must be notified to the Democratic Services Officer by the absent Member or group representative at least half an hour in advance of the meeting.
- 3. Planning officers will introduce their report and be able to provide information or advice to Members during the meeting. They can also be contacted before the meeting if you require information or advice on any matter. Members are strongly encouraged to contact the relevant case officer in advance of the meeting if you are looking to amend or add conditions or are likely to be proposing a reason for refusal. It is helpful if officers are aware of these matters in advance so that they can better advise Members both before and during the meeting.
- 4. Members of the public can speak at the Committee meeting on any planning application that is being reported to the Committee for decision, provided they have made written representations on the application at least 14 days in advance of the meeting, and provided they have registered their wish to do so with the Democratic Services Officer no later than midday on the working day before the meeting. The number of public

speakers is restricted to three objectors and three supporters in respect of each application.

- 5. Petitions from members of the public may be presented to the Committee provided that they contain 100 or more signatures and relate to a matter within the Committee's terms of reference. The presentation of petitions on the following matters is not allowed: (a) matters which are "confidential" or "exempt" under the Local Government Access to Information Act 1985; and (b) planning applications. Notice must be given in writing at least 14 days before the meeting. Please contact the Democratic Services Officer for further advice.
- 6. Notice of public questions must be given in writing at least 7 days before the meeting. Members of the public may ask one question relating to a matter within the Committee's terms of reference. Questions on "confidential" or "exempt" matters and planning applications are not allowed. Questions should relate to general policy and not detail. Please contact the Democratic Services Officer for further advice.
- 7. On 10 December 2013, the Council agreed amendments to the Scheme of Delegation so that:
  - All details pursuant (applications relating to a previously granted permission) and non-material amendments (minor issues that do not change the principles of an existing permission) will be delegated to officers (irrespective of the number of objections).
  - Any full application with fewer than 5 objections, which is in accordance with the development plan and national polices will be delegated to officers.
  - Any full application with fewer than 5 objections that is not in accordance with the development plan (i.e. waste development in Green Belt) and national policies will be delegated to officers in liaison with either the Chairman or Vice Chairman of the Planning & Regulatory Committee.
  - Any application can come before committee if requested by the local member or a member of the Planning & Regulatory Committee.

The revised Scheme of Delegation came into effect as of the date of the Council decision.

# Town and Country Planning Act 1990 – guidance on the determination of planning applications

This guidance forms part of and should be read in conjunction with the Planning Considerations section in the report.

Surrey County Council as County Planning Authority (also known as Mineral or Waste Planning Authority in relation to matters relating to mineral or waste development) is required under Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) (1990 Act) when determining planning applications to '*have regard to (a) the provisions of the development plan, so far as material to the application, (b) any local finance considerations, so far as material to the application, (b) any local finance considerations, so far as material to the application, (b) any local finance considerations, so far as material to the application (c) any other material considerations'. This section of the 1990 Act must be read together with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (2004 Act), which provides that: 'If regard is to be had to the development plan for the purpose of any determination to be made under the planning Acts the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.'* 

#### Development plan

In Surrey the adopted development plan consists of the:

- Surrey Minerals Local Plan 2011 (comprised of the Core Strategy and Primary Aggregates Development Plan Documents (DPD))
- Surrey Waste Local Plan 2020 (for the period 2019-2033 and comprised of the Surrey Waste Local Plan Part 1 Policies and Surrey Waste Local Plan Part 2 Sites)
- Aggregates Recycling Joint Development Plan (DPD) for the Minerals and Waste Plans 2013 (Aggregates Recycling DPD 2013)
- Any saved local plan policies and the adopted Local Development Documents (development plan documents and supplementary planning documents) prepared by the eleven Surrey district/borough councils in Surrey
- South East Plan 2009 Policy NRM6 Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area (apart from Policy NRM6 and a policy relating to the former Upper Heyford Air Base in Oxfordshire the rest of the plan was revoked on 25 March 2013)
- Any neighbourhood plans (where they have been approved by the local community at referendum)

Set out in each report are the development plan documents and policies which provide the development plan framework relevant to the application under consideration.

#### Material considerations

Material considerations will vary from planning application to planning application and can include: relevant European policy; the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2023 and subsequent updates; the March 2014 national Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) and subsequent updates; National Planning Policy for Waste (NPPW) October 2014; Waste Management Plan for England 2021; extant planning policy statements; Government Circulars and letters to Chief Planning Officers; emerging local development documents (being produced by Surrey County Council, the district/borough council or neighbourhood forum in whose area the application site lies).

## National Planning Policy Framework and Planning Practice Guidance

The <u>National Planning Policy Framework</u> (NPPF) was revised on 19 December 2023. The revised NPPF replaces the previous NPPF published in March 2012 and revised in July 2018, February 2019, July 2021 and September 2023. It continues to provide consolidated guidance for local planning authorities and decision takers in relation to decision-taking (determining planning applications) and in preparing plans (plan making).

The NPPF sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied and the associated March 2014 <u>Planning Practice Guidance(PPG)</u>, as amended, provides related guidance. The NPPF should be read alongside other national planning policies for <u>waste</u>; <u>traveller sites</u>; <u>planning for schools development</u>; <u>sustainable drainage systems</u>; <u>parking and Starter Homes</u>.

At the heart of the NPPF is a presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraphs 10 and 11). The NPPF makes clear that the planning system has three overarching objectives in order to achieve sustainable development, which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways in order to take opportunities to secure net gains across each of the different objectives. These objectives are economic, social and environmental.

The presumption in favour of sustainable development in the NPPF does not change the statutory principle that determination of planning applications must be made in accordance with the adopted development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The NPPF is one of those material considerations. In determining planning applications the NPPF (paragraph 11) states that development proposals that accord with the development plan should be approved without delay. Where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important in determining an application are out of date, permission should be granted unless the application of policies in the NPPF that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed or any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF as a whole.

The NPPF aims to strengthen local decision making and reinforce the importance of up to date plans. Annex 1 paragraph 224 states that, except for applications involving housing where policy in paragraph 76 of the NPPF applies, the policies in the NPPF are material considerations to be taken into account when dealing with applications from the date of publication. The policy in paragraph 76 applies to applications made on, or after, 19 December 2023. Annex 1 paragraph 225 states that in determining planning applications, local planning authorities should give due weight to relevant policies in existing plans according to their degree of consistency with the NPPF (the closer the policies are to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight they may be given).

#### Human Rights Act 1998

Guidance For Interpretation

The Human Rights Act 1998 does not incorporate the European Convention on Human Rights into English law. It does, however, impose an obligation on public authorities not to act incompatibly with those Convention rights specified in Schedule 1 of that Act. As such, those persons directly affected by the adverse effects of decisions of public authorities may be able to claim a breach of their human rights. Decision makers are required to weigh the adverse impact of the development against the benefits to the public at large.

The most commonly relied upon articles of the European Convention are Articles 6, 8 and Article 1 of Protocol 1. These are specified in Schedule 1 of the Act.

Article 6 provides the right to a fair and public hearing. Officers must be satisfied that the application has been subject to proper public consultation and that the public have had an opportunity to make representations in the normal way and that any representations received have been properly covered in the report.

Article 8 covers the right to respect for a private and family life. This has been interpreted as the right to live one's personal life without unjustified interference. Officers must judge whether the development proposed would constitute such an interference and thus engage Article 8.

Article 1 of Protocol 1 provides that a person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions and that no-one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest. Possessions will include material possessions, such as property, and also planning permissions and possibly other rights. Officers will wish to consider whether the impact of the proposed development will affect the peaceful enjoyment of such possessions.

These are qualified rights, which means that interference with them may be justified if deemed necessary in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.

Any interference with a Convention right must be proportionate to the intended objective. This means that such an interference should be carefully designed to meet the objective in question and not be arbitrary, unfair or overly severe.

European case law suggests that interference with the human rights described above will only be considered to engage those Articles and thereby cause a breach of human rights where that interference is significant. Officers will therefore consider the impacts of all applications for planning permission and will express a view as to whether an Article of the Convention may be engaged.