

Minutes of the meeting of the  
**Epsom AND EWELL LOCAL COMMITTEE**  
 held at 7.00 pm on 24 June 2019  
 at Bourne Hall, Spring Street, Ewell KT17 1UF.

These minutes are subject to confirmation by the Committee at its next meeting.

**Surrey County Council Members:**

- \* Mrs Tina Mountain (Chairman)
- \* Mrs Jan Mason (Vice-Chairman)
- \* Mr John Beckett
- \* Mr Eber A Kington
- \* Mrs Bernie Muir

**Borough / District Members:**

- \* Cllr Steve Bridger
- \* Cllr Nigel Collin
- \* Cllr Neil Dallen
- \* Cllr Debbie Monksfield
- \* Cllr Humphrey Reynolds

\* In attendance

---

**OPEN FORUM**

The questions and responses to the matters raised in the open forum are attached to the minutes.

**13/19 APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE LOCAL COMMITTEE FOR 2019/20 [Item 1]**

The appointment by Council of Mrs Tina Mountain as Chairman and Mrs Jan Mason as Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee for the current municipal year was noted.

**14/19 APPOINTMENT OF BOROUGH COUNCIL MEMBERS [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION – FOR DECISION] [Item 2]**

**Resolved:**

To co-opt substitutes for Borough Council members for the municipal year 2019/20.

Reasons: Standing Order 40(f) requires the Committee at its first meeting in the municipal year to agree whether it wishes Borough Council members to be permitted to have substitutes

**15/19 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS [Item 3]**

There were no apologies for absence or substitutions.

## ITEM 6

### 16/19 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 4]

Cllr Bernie Muir declared a prejudicial interest in Item 10 as a resident of Abelea Green, she withdrew from the meeting for this item.

Cllr Neil Dallen declared a personal interest in item 7, petition 2 as a resident of Hazon Way.

Cllrs Eber Kington and Neil Dallen declared a personal interest in relation to references in the agenda to the Borough Councils Strategy & Resources Committee and Environment Committee of which they are the respective Chairmen.

Cllr Humphrey Reynolds declared a personal interest in item 7, petition 1 as a resident of Ewell village.

### 17/19 CHAIRMAN'S BUSINESS [Item 5]

The Chairman reported that both she and the Vice-Chairman are members of a Committee which is reviewing Fire Service activities. They have carried out a number of fact finding visits and the report will be published next Monday.

### 18/19 WRITTEN PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND STATEMENTS [Item 6]

One question had been received, the question and the response are set out in the agenda. The questioner was unable to attend so there was no further discussion.

### 19/19 PETITIONS [Item 7]

**Declarations of Interest:** Cllr Reynolds declared a personal interest in Petition 1 as a resident of Ewell village. Cllr Neil Dallen declared a personal interest in Petition 2 as a resident of Hazon Way.

**Officers attending:** Peter Shimadry, Senior Traffic Engineer

**Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** Two petitions were received.

**Petition 1:** Clare Clark set out the reasons for the petition to introduce 20mph speed limits in Ewell Village. Both walking and cycling can be dangerous in the area as the pavements are narrow and vehicles often pass at speed. Incidents have been recorded for several decades and there have been numerous stories of dangerous incidents and near misses which are not included in the accident data. Many children walk to school in the area and it is important that it is a safe environment for them to do so. There is lots of data available on the benefits of reducing speeds to 20mph and there was no rationale for setting local limits at 30mph. Slower speeds are known to reduce the severity of injuries to casualties. Although the council policy is only to introduce 20mph limits with traffic calming, unless speeds are already low, the community would be happy to just have a signed restriction. Reduced speeds are also known to help with pollution and reduce traffic noise. The extent of the required zone was left deliberately vague to allow SCC to consult more widely.

**Petition 2:** Residents had been made aware of the Committee's wish to consult on the option of closing Horsley Close at the junction of Waterloo Road via Facebook. They did not want a closure as this would restrict access only one entry and exit via Hazon Way which is already a dangerous junction. It is unfair on residents to suggest this closure to deal with anti-social behaviour which the authorities have been unable to address effectively. Vehicles wait in this location as there is no parking provision at the station and no effective enforcement. Closing the access will not reduce the anti-social behaviour in the car park and could increase it. Two drug dealers have been arrested this week in the area and residents feel that the installation of CCTV could solve the anti-social behaviour issues.

#### **Member discussion –key points**

**Petition 1:** The Senior Traffic Engineer agreed that safety improvements and encouraging more people to walk and cycle are important, however there is no evidence that signed only areas reduce speeds and sometimes speeds actually increase if traffic calming is not introduced alongside the reductions. A feasibility study would help to inform the Committee of what would need to be done and it may be possible to improve safety in a different way without reducing speeds.

The divisional member indicated that he supports 20mph limits in specific locations in the vicinity of schools or in areas with high casualty numbers, but is yet to be convinced of the effectiveness of signed only areas. The local Residents Association has been working with the police to analyse speeds in two roads in the area and is awaiting the results.

It was noted that traffic calming by means of road tables would cost around £25k per table and these would be required every 50m. The Committee only has £150k available in the current year for all local work, so whilst the Committee may support such an initiative it would not have sufficient funding to implement it. If a feasibility study is agreed to start in the current year members wanted to know which projects, already agreed, would not be able to go ahead. It was not possible to provide this information at the meeting as further discussions would be required. Members wished to limit the cost of any feasibility study to £5,000 initially and for any further expenditure above this level to be agreed by the Committee.

**Petition 2:** The divisional member was in favour of removing the closure option and it was felt that there seemed to be little point in carrying out a formal consultation when there was little if any support from residents. However the Committee felt that it was important to keep working to try and resolve the anti-social behaviour issues. The divisional member indicated that she had been in regular contact with both Orbit and National Rail and although they indicate that they will take action, this has often not materialised. It was noted that it is not possible to carry out parking enforcement by means of ANPR cameras in Surrey. Members suggested that perhaps some of the S106 money could be used on CCTV cameras, but this was thought to be unlikely as it has been designated for pedestrian and cycling improvements. It was unclear whether removing this proposal would affect the other initiatives agreed to use the s106 funding, if any changes were required a further report would be brought to the next meeting.

## ITEM 6

### **Resolved:**

#### **Petition 1: Request for the introduction of a 20mph speed limit in Ewell Village**

To allocate funding for a feasibility study, if possible in 2019/20, subject to further discussions to identify suitable funding.

#### **Petition 2: Request not to consider the closure of the access to Horsley Close, Epsom from Waterloo Road**

To withdraw the proposed consultation of affected residents on the plan to close the entrance to Horsley Close from Waterloo Road and not to proceed further with this proposal.

Reasons: Petition 1: to evaluate the existing conditions in Ewell village and develop options to reduce vehicle speeds and improve safety.

Petition 2: To take account of residents' views, which are not supportive of the proposal.

### **20/19 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 8]**

Confirmed as a correct record.

Cllr Dallen asked whether he could see the results of the radar surveys carried out to see if there were any suitable sites for the planting of trees in East Street, Epsom. It was agreed this would be sent to him.

### **21/19 MEMBER QUESTION TIME [Item 9]**

Three questions were received, the questions and officer responses are set out in the agenda and supplementary agenda for the meeting. The following additional points were raised:

**Question 1:** Cllr Dallen asked whether Thames Water had notified SCC of further planned work in the area which they had mentioned to him. It was agreed that this information would be passed to the Street works Team.

**Question 2:** Cllr Dallen requested that a report be brought to a future Committee meeting to begin the process set out in the response to the question.

### **22/19 ROAD SAFETY OUTSIDE SCHOOLS ST JOSEPH'S SCHOOL, ROSEBANK, EPSOM [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION] [Item 10]**

**Declarations of Interest:** Cllr Bernie Muir declared a prejudicial interest as a resident of Abelea Green and left the meeting for this item.

**Officers attending:** Peter Shimadry, Senior Traffic Engineer

**Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** None

**Member discussion** –key points

A member read out an e-mail sent that day to Committee members by a parent outlining the details of a recent incident in Rosebank where a disabled child cycling on the pavement had been knocked into the road by another child on a bike trying to pass and was nearly hit by a reversing vehicle. Vehicles blocking the road, parking on the pavements and deliveries to the nursery mean that children often have to walk in the road to get to the school. The parent considered that Rosebank is unsafe for the number of children and vehicles using it to access the school.

The Senior Traffic Engineer commented that there are many schools around Surrey which experience similar congestion issues at school pick up and drop off times, but that a feasibility study would be the best way to determine what improvements may be possible.

Whilst members were supportive of a feasibility study, they noted that all available funding for this year had already been allocated and further discussions would be needed to decide what, if anything could be postponed. The Committee wished to limit the cost of the feasibility study to £5,000 without the agreement of the Committee to any further costs.

It was noted that the Abelea Green area could provide an additional park and stride location, which is only around 12 minutes' walk from the School. The School has also indicated that this could be a suitable location for the operation of a walking bus, as it is the only location which does not require a busy road to be crossed to access the School. It was proposed that some relaxation of the parking in this location, for a limited time at school pick up and drop off times, should be considered and advertised as part of the parking review coming to the Committee in September. The divisional member indicated that she had already carried out a full consultation of the residents of Abelea Green and they were unanimously opposed to any relaxation of parking restrictions in the area. She had proposed to the Borough Council, on several occasions, that parents could be granted 40 minutes free parking in the Ashley Centre car park, but this had been refused. She felt that parents could make better use of car sharing opportunities and the School could extend the period of time during which children can be dropped off. She also asked the Area Highway Manager to look at the potential for a road closure at School pick up and drop off times as is operated in some parts of London.

Some members were in favour of the option of removing some of the resident parking spaces mentioned in the report to allow better access, but were unsure whether this could be done in isolation to the feasibility study.

It was noted that the car hire company based in Rosebank had been spoken to by the Police about dangerous and obstructive parking and regular parking enforcement is carried out by the Borough Council. The Divisional member indicated that she had had difficulty accessing the most recent travel plan. However it was acknowledged that the travel plan can only encourage changes in behaviour and is not enforceable.

**Resolved:**

- (i) To allocate funding to begin a feasibility study for St Joseph's School this Financial Year 2019-20 at a maximum initial cost of £5,000, to include consideration of measures in both Rosebank and Whitehorse Drive,

## ITEM 6

subject to the identification of suitable funding from the deferment of a project already scheduled for this year.

On a motion proposed by Cllr Kington and seconded by Cllr Dallen and

- (ii) [on a vote 8 votes FOR to 1 AGAINST] To ask the parking engineer to draw up proposals for the relaxation of parking for a limited time period, at the start and end of the school day, on parts of the Abelea Green estate, to allow an additional park and stride location, for inclusion in the parking review to be considered at the September 2019 meeting of the Committee.

Reasons: To consider engineering improvements in Rosebank and Whitehorse Drive that may be beneficial and the option for an additional park and stride location.

### **23/19 HIGHWAYS UPDATE [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION] [Item 11]**

**Declarations of Interest:** None

**Officers attending:** Peter Shimadry, Senior Traffic Engineer

**Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** None

**Member discussion –key points**

The Senior Traffic Engineer confirmed that there would be no additional costs to the Borough Council of progressing the Fair Green cycle link scheme. He could not confirm whether objections were likely. The £5,000 would cover the cost of the legal work required, further funding would be required to carry out the necessary upgrade work. The aim of the work was to improve safety and provide year round use. Members debated the relative priorities of the schemes already agreed and the requests for additional feasibility studies agreed earlier in the agenda, but did not have sufficient information on the progress of schemes to determine which could potentially be postponed.

A member raised the current County Council policy on trees which he felt was not conducive to encouraging tree planting in the Borough. There is funding available but the majority of requests for planting in the area have been refused. The Cabinet member for Highways responded that the policy is clear and not restrictive and there is no issue with planting trees in a suitable location. He agreed to look into specific issues outside the meeting if members wished to contact him.

**Resolved:**

- (i) To defer a decision on whether to re-allocate £5,000 for the feasibility study for a cycle route on the London Road to the Fair Green cycle link scheme, pending further discussions on the priority of schemes to be funded this financial year.
- (ii) To authorise the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman and affected divisional members, to re-evaluate and if necessary change the agreed capital ITS programme for

the current financial year, to take account of schemes previously discussed at this meeting (20mph zone in Ewell Village, measures in Rosebank and Whitehorse Drive to improve access to St Joseph's School), subject to further discussions as to whether this is possible without abortive costs.

- (iii) Authorise the Area Highways Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice Chairman and relevant Divisional Member(s) to undertake all necessary procedures to deliver the agreed programmes.

Reasons: To allow a re-evaluation of this year's work programme to determine priorities in the light of new schemes being identified without the need to delay work by waiting for a future Committee decision.

Committee is asked to provide the necessary authorisation to deliver those programmes of work in consultation with the Chairman, Vice-Chairman and relevant Divisional Member without the need to revert to the Committee as a whole

**24/19 VERBAL UPDATE FROM SCC CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS - MATT FURNISS [FOR INFORMATION] [Item 12]**

**Declarations of Interest:** None

**Officers attending:** Peter Shimadry, Senior Traffic Engineer

**Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** None

**Member discussion –key points**

The County Council Cabinet member for Highways, Matt Furniss introduced himself to members and outlined his role in overseeing infrastructure delivery.

- He reported that there has been an increased investment in highways in the past year. A new highways contract is being developed to begin in two years.
- The Cabinet will be considering a report in July to allow Districts and Boroughs to be more involved in managing highway assets in town centres.
- The roll out of LED street lights will begin in September/October and will follow the usual maintenance cycle to avoid additional costs. This will involve the replacement of bulbs only. It will save money on electricity and reduce carbon emissions.
- Highways assets have been reviewed and it has been agreed that there should be some additional investment in pavements, which it is hoped will result in improvements.
- The Surrey Infrastructure Academy has been launched recently and will be working with local colleges to promote highway related degrees to address a skills shortage.
- There has been a successful switch over to a new drainage contractor and following the recent wet weather the number of enquiries related to highway flooding has been lower than previously.

Member highlighted that the funding available to Local and Joint Committees to address highway issues in local areas had been reduced and was not

## ITEM 6

allocated on road usage and it was hoped that this could be addressed in the budget allocation for next year.

It was suggested that if the cost of street lighting is reduced by the introduction of LED bulbs then consideration could be given to turning street lights back on overnight. The Cabinet member responded that the County Council continues to face unprecedented demands on its budget, the majority of which is needed to provide statutory services to both adults and children so savings are required to fund this work. Only a very small percentage of the Council Tax is used to fund highway work.

### **25/19 LOCAL COMMITTEE COMMUNITY SAFETY FUNDING [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION] [Item 13]**

**Declarations of Interest:** None

**Officers attending:** None

**Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** None

**Member discussion –key points**

None

**Resolved:** that

- (i) The committee's delegated community safety budget of £3,000 for 2019/20 be retained by the Community Partnership Team, on behalf of the Local Committee, and that the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local organisations be invited to submit proposals for funding that meet the criteria and principles set out at paragraph 2.4 of this report.
- (ii) Authority be delegated to the Community Safety Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the local committee, to authorise the expenditure of the community safety budget in accordance with the criteria and principles stated at paragraph 2.4 of this report.
- (iii) The committee receives updates on the project(s) funded, the outcomes and the impact it has achieved.

Reasons: The report sets out a process for allocating the committee's delegated community safety budget of £3,000 to local organisations.

### **26/19 REPRESENTATION ON EXTERNAL BODIES [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION - FOR DECISION] [Item 14]**

**Declarations of Interest:** None

**Officers attending:** Nicola Morris, Partnership Committee Officer

**Petitions, Public Questions/Statements:** None

**Member discussion –key points**

None



**Resolved:**

That County Councillor Bernie Muir be appointed as the Committee's representative on the East Surrey Community Safety Partnership.

Reasons: The appointment of councillors on the Local Committee to external bodies enables the committee's representation on and input to such bodies.

**27/19 LOCAL COMMITTEE DECISION TRACKER [FOR DECISION] [Item 15]**

The Committee noted the progress made with items in the tracker and agreed that those marked as complete could be removed, with the exception of the item relating to the Edge Youth Centre as Cllr Mason did not feel she had had a discussion regarding the way forward.

**28/19 FORWARD PLAN [FOR INFORMATION] [Item 16]**

Noted the forward plan.

**29/19 DATE OF NEXT MEETING [Item 17]**

Monday 16 September, 7.00pm – Bourne Hall, Ewell

Meeting ended at: 9.24 pm

---

**Chairman**

This page is intentionally left blank