

**From:** Jean Parry  
**To:** [MWCD Environment/ENV/SCC](#); [Katie Rayner](#); [Stephen Jenkins](#); [REDACTED]@elmbridge.gov.uk; [REDACTED]@runnymede.gov.uk  
**Subject:** Re: SCC Ref: 2018/0144 and EL 3802- Further comments related to Officer Report and Application  
**Date:** 19 June 2020 14:41:04  
**Attachments:** [Comments on SCC 2018-0144 18 June 2020.pdf](#)  
[blue-mac-brochure-28pp\\_2808\\_final-min\\_20161128150825.pdf](#)

---

Dear Council Officers

Please find some further issues I wish to draw to your attention following a re-read of the Officer Report. I believe the attached points require further investigation and consideration before approval is given to the above application.

These issues relate to:

- ***Site size and throughput tonnage - Key Points***
  - Tonnage proposed is in excess for the capacity of a small waste site (see [SWLP-2 Part-2-Sites-Final NEW Submission 2019-01-25](#))
- ***Traffic congestion and vehicle movements - Key Points***
  - Wintersells Park could not cope with the proposed volume of traffic, neither can the A318 which has frequently tail-backs of a mile in each direction and which is heavily used by the ambulances
- ***Site Design, Elevations, Pollution and Equipment - Key Points***
  - The elevations are out of keeping with the rest of the estate -equivalent to a 4-5 storey building with all others a maximum of two
  - The design has the external part of the conveyor dropping hardcore waste from the height of a two-storey building onto the ground, close to the entrance and public footpath, creating noise and dust/air pollution
  - WSH have still not provided a good indication of the actual equipment they will be using, its dimensions or decibels generated – (Please also see the attached BlueMac brochure which I believe provides a reasonable indication of the equipment that would be required)
- **Hours of operation - not in line with hours of other waste sites or the location**
  - [Even the reduction in operational hours proposed by SCC, are still in excess of those of other Surrey waste sites, as discussed in the SWLP report \[SCC Types of Waste Management Facility Jan 2019 v2\]\(#\).](#)

## **Section 2 Major issues with the application**

- **Discrepancies between this application in relation to SCC Waste Plan**
  - Addresses further issues related to the size and type of operation as it appears that WSH are planning to operate three of the nine types of waste facility identified in the SWLP
  - Implications that the site will manufacture recyclable materials
  - No weighbridge on site – weight will be assessed by a visual assessment

**Monitoring of WSH responsibilities**

- Concerns about how the operation of the site will be monitored by SCC, particularly as they appear to have allowed Cappagh to ignore many of the conditions imposed on the operation of their site in Byfleet Road

I trust you will have time to look at these points in my attached letter

Kind regards

Jean Parry