

### Complaints considered since the last PCP meeting of 15 September 2020

Date received	Nature of complaint	Did the complaint, or an element of the complaint, relate to conduct of a relevant office holder?	Did the complaint, or an element of the complaint, relate to an alleged criminal offence?	Details / Action taken
2 September 2020 (from complainant)  8 September 2020 (from OPCC).	Ref: <b>PCP 0035</b> –  This is the second time since the complainant's initial complaint to the Police and Crime Panel in July 2017, that the Police and Crime Commissioner's Office has allowed Surrey Police to conceal a criminal offence by an officer and the involvement of many officers and the Chief Constable in doing so; leading to a culture of widespread corruption, gross misconduct and a breach of duties under the Police Reform Act 2002.  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Noting that both the PCC and Chief Constable were aware that an officer of Surrey Police committed an offence and that the Chief Constable approved a breach of the HOCR (Home Office Counting Rules for Recorded Crime) and the NCRS (National Crime Recording Standard) requirements to record the crime.</li> <li>- The breach of the HOCR and NCRS crime recording requirements led to the deliberate failure to refer the matter to the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS). The PCC and police have no discretion not to record an offence and to make a charging decision themselves. Due to the concealment by the PCC and Chief Constable the CPS could not comment on the severity of the criminal conduct and how much the officers had</li> </ul>	Yes	Yes – not upheld by IOPC	<p>The complainant and PCC were contacted by the Committee Manager to provide detail on the complaint. After liaising with the Director of Law and Governance and the Senior Principal Lawyer at Surrey County Council and in accordance with section 3 of the Panel's agreed Complaints Protocol on the disapplication of the Elected Local Policing Bodies (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations 2012, it was recommended that the complaint should not be subjected to resolution by the Police and Crime Panel Complaints Sub-Committee with regard to Part 4 of the Regulations and therefore that no further action should be taken.</p> <p>The Complaints Sub-Committee may only disapply the Regulations if the complaint falls into any one of the specified categories. As listed in the Complaints Protocol, in this case the complaint PCP 0035 was:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A complaint that is more than 12 months old, where there is no good reason for the delay or the delay would be likely to cause injustice.</li> <li>- A complaint that is repetitious.</li> </ul> <p>The complainant and the Commissioner were informed of the outcome on 5 October 2020.</p>

	<p>perverted the course of three criminal investigations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The Chief Constable sent a legal team to assist in evidence manipulation which deliberately misrecorded details of a trial and that team encouraged Hampshire Police to take that account as accurate and not to interview witnesses or request evidence from the High Court committing perjury.</li></ul>			
--	--	--	--	--