

**CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS & COMMUNITY RESILIENCE DECISIONS –
29 NOVEMBER 2022**

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Members Questions

**Countywide Integrated Transport Schemes Prioritisation Process And
2023/24 Delivery Programme**

Question (1) Mark Sugden – Hinchley Wood, Claygate and Oxshott

Could the Cabinet Member provide the same information as that provided in Annex B for the Draft Prioritised Countywide ITS programme for all those schemes that were not prioritised for 2023/24?

Reply:

Officers from the Stakeholder engagement team will contact each Member and explain, in detail, the scoring and the reasons why their scheme did not make the threshold for inclusion in next years budget. This will include the aspects of their scheme scoring relative to those that were successful. Working with Officers, the intention is that this will help Members better understand the process and assist with any future scheme promotion.

Question (2) Mark Sugden – Hinchley Wood, Claygate and Oxshott

Will the Cabinet Member make available to individual members the detailed scoring by criteria for the 1 scheme they submitted for their Division?

Reply:

Yes, Officers from the Stakeholder engagement team will liaise with individual Members and provide detailed feedback of the scoring for their promoted scheme.

Question (3) Jonathan Essex – Redhill East

Please can you provide

- i) A short description of all the schemes that are to be funded as listed in Annex B (including their extent, such as in the case of the traffic calming/20mph speed limit schemes);
- ii) the prioritisation scores and budget estimates of the schemes that are not funded this next year; and
- iii) how this process will ensure fair spread between towns/ rural areas/ London fringe areas of Surrey and prioritise areas not receiving funding this year in future years.

Reply:

An outline description is already provided in Annex B and further details will be made available in the proposed annual outturn report to the Communities, Environment and

Highways Select Committee. The budget estimate for each scheme is included in annex B. Officers from the Stakeholder engagement team will contact each Member and explain, in detail, the scoring and the reasons why their scheme did not make the threshold for inclusion in next year's budget. This will include the aspects of their scheme scoring relative to those that were successful. Working with Officers, the intention is that this will help Members better understand the process and assist with any future scheme promotion.

The criteria for determining which schemes are promoted is included in Annex A. This explains that the highest scoring scheme for each District or Borough will be promoted and that the Cabinet Member has the option to adjust scheme priorities to reflect levelling up, redressing imbalance impacting rural communities or other County priorities. For 2023/24 there has been no adjustment and the promoted schemes are those which scored highest in their District or Borough.

Kevin Deanus

Cabinet Member for Highways and Community Resilience

29 November 2022