CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS AND COMMUNITY RESILIENCE DECISIONS

1. PETITION: WEIGHT LIMIT, CHURCH STREET, WEYBRIDGE

(i) Details of petition

One petition was received from Peter Harman. This and the response given was as below:

We the undersigned petition Surrey County Council to Introduce an 18-ton weight limit from the Junction with Brooklands Road / Wellington Way via Heath Road through to Church Street, Weybridge. Heavy lorries have caused significant damage to street furniture when they take a short cut to the M25. Residents are disturbed throughout the night by these heavy articulated lorries serving the Brooklands Estate. An advisory to route to M25 via Byfleet Road is ignored.

Justification:

These roads are not designed for heavy lorries with a narrow bridge at Weybridge Station, a school and narrow streets at the junction with Church Street and High Street, Weybridge. Future road works at the A3 / M25 junction will encourage more drivers to use alternative route.

Response:

The Brooklands Estate is a locally important business park and distribution hub. It is accepted that HGV movements may cause concern for some residents, but as a highway authority we have a responsibility to ensure that legitimate businesses can successfully operate and that any proposed changes to the highway network do not have a negative impact elsewhere.

The B374 Brooklands Road is classified as part of the Surrey Priority One (SPN1) network, meaning that it is maintained to facilitate the movement of all vehicles, including HGVs. There are existing signs at the junction of Wellington Way and Brooklands Road directing vehicles in excess of 16.5 tonnes to join M25 at junction 10. While some HGVs may ignore these and use Brooklands Road (and subsequent roads) to join the M25 at junction 11, some will be going to other destinations, such as heading north on the A317 (another SPN 1 road). For these reasons there are no plans at this time to introduce a weight restriction.

Tim Oliver, Member for Weybridge, spoke in support of the petition.

It was agreed to continue to review the situation.

CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS & COMMUNITY RESILIENCE DECISIONS

2. HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORT ASSET MANAGEMENT STRATEGY UPDATE

(i) RESOLVED:

That the updated Highways & Transport Asset Management Strategy be approved.

(ii) Reasons for decision

The Highway & Transport Asset Management Strategy (The Strategy) sets out how Surrey County Council (SCC) manages its highway infrastructure assets with consideration to whole life costs, associated risks and alignment with Surrey's corporate objectives.

In 2018, future approvals of the Strategy were delegated by Cabinet to the Highways lead Cabinet Member. The Strategy is reviewed and updated every two years and, as such, this update is coming to the Cabinet Member for Highways and Community Resilience for approval in December 2022.

COMMITTEES-IN-COMMON SUB-COMMITTEE DECISIONS – 14 DECEMBER 2022

1. APPROVAL REQUEST FOR COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS: CONTRACT EXTENSION

RESOLVED:

That a one-year additional extension of community connections contracts, taking the expiry date to 31 March 2024, be approved.

Reasons for Decision:

Community Connections services are an integral part of the mental health support offer for Surrey citizens; they deliver value for money services that improve people's outcomes. Following legal advice, the commissioners are requesting an extension of current contract arrangements to allow more time to complete an innovative and legally compliant procurement of Community Connections 'plus' services. The intention remains to work collaboratively with a range of providers to deliver a co-produced and coordinated voluntary sector mental health offer in Surrey.

2. SURREY INTEGRATED COMMISSIONING SYSTEM - LEGAL AND FINANCIAL FRAMEWORK

RESOLVED:

- 1. That the Surrey Health and Care Integration Commissioning Partnership Agreement, which includes the Section 75 financial schedule for joint posts be approved.
- 2. That Legal Services be authorised to seal the Partnership Agreement, which includes the Section 75 financial schedule, the same on behalf of the Council.
- 3. That the content of the Memorandum of Understanding with the intention to update this document as the shared ambition and new operating model for integrated commissioning is agreed and implemented over the next 6-12 months (and beyond).

Reasons for Decision:

Approving the documentation will demonstrate effective governance and provide clarity for the existing integrated commissioning system. It is recognised that these documents reflect the current position and that there is a commitment from all parties to a next phase of work, which develops and transforms our commissioning approach and operating model and will require the Memorandum of Understanding to be updated to reflect the parties' agreed shared ambition moving forward. Likewise, as we learn and mature as an integrated

commissioning system, further updates may be required to the Integrated Commissioning Partnership Agreement and so we will continue to review this agreement as is necessary.

3. GREAT MEADOWS STEP DOWN SUPPORTED LIVING: CLINICAL AND CARE SUPPORT CORE SERVICE PROVISION (Part 2 report)

RESOLVED:

- 1. That findings of the test and learn so far deliver two recommendations for the Surrey Strategic Heath and Care Commissioning Collaborative to endorse before progressing to Committees in Common be noted.
- 2. That the provider model is moved to a Surrey social care provider through a compliant legal process be approved.
- 3. That Surrey County Council, Surrey Heartlands ICB and Frimley ICB set up a Section 75 arrangement to aid integrated commissioning for those with Learning Disability, Autism and Mental Health was approved.

Reasons for Decision:

The test and learn to date has demonstrated two key findings:

i. Firstly that the core elements of Great Meadows are akin to those provided through social care supported living providers and therefore successful mobilisation is more likely to be achieved with Surrey County Council as the lead commissioner of a social care provider. ii. Secondly, although there are similarities to current Supported Living provisions, the success of Great Meadows will be dependent on improving pathways between health and social care, particularly in relations to those with autism (and Mental Health) when in a crisis.

Some investment into specialist services such as the Forensic Outreach and Liaison Service (SABP) and Specialist Social Care has already been committed however, further investment might be required to aid integrated pathways, improve skill sets related to Autism and Reasonable adjustments. The Section 75 would provide the mechanism to support integrated commissioning to develop community services, which can in turn reach to Great Meadows.

4. CHILDREN'S COMMUNITY SERVICES JOINT SERVICE INVESTIGATION (Part 2 report)

RESOLVED:

 That the outcome of the Joint Service Review be noted and the next steps were discussed.

