

Drawing 70 - Whitehorse Drive, Dudley Grove, Elm Grove - Objections

The Greenway	Epsom	Its not busy after 3 or weekends
wells view drive	Bromley	Not enough parking on Epsom hospital site and I rely on parking in the residential area to get to work
		<p>Having lived on Whitehorse Drive for 17 years, I can safely say that traffic problems are at an all-time high. The parents of the Rosebery girls feel it necessary to drop and pick up their children right outside the school gate and this causes havoc. Since the St Joseph’s parents have been using Whitehorse Drive, things have become even worse. I understand that there is a dire need for something to change – but this needs to be done in a way that is not to the detriment of the residents of these three roads.</p> <p>‘Residents only’ parking would be a solution. This cannot become a money-making scheme for the Council – we give enough – free residents badges need to be given to each household to enable us to park outside our houses as and when we need to.</p> <p>Rosebery School and St Joseph’s need to do more to make these roads safe – it is only the parents of these school children that are making these roads dangerous – it’s only a matter of time before someone gets badly hurt. The tempers the Rosebery parents bring with them down Whitehorse Drive is quite something.</p> <p>Rosebery School needs to have people in the road questioning each parent who is dropping a child off. Rosebery School parents need to drop their children on the Dorking Road – these children are in Senior School and do not need door-to-door transport.</p> <p>I understand that the St Joseph’s parents have been banned from driving near the main entrance to their school – I find it unacceptable that the residents of Whitehorse Drive, Elm Grove and Dudley Grove have to now deal with the fallout from this when we have Rosebery School to deal with as well.</p> <p>I strongly oppose this plan.</p>
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	“Residents parking only. Mon – Sat 9.30am-2.30pm, 4.30-6.30pm” in all of the areas outlined would be a far fairer way to solve the issues which are not caused by the residents.
		Introduction of a no wait zone means I cannot park outside my own house during the specified times. We do have a driveway, but this therefore limits our ability for guests and secondary cars to park on the road.
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	Where are we supposed to park? You seem to have forgotten that the road is used for all day resident parking as well as all day commuter parking. I therefore object to this proposal, if the intention of the change is to reduce commuter parking then introduce resident permits.
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	The proposal will remove all parking spaces on the road for residents: there are many owners here with young children and multiple cars which would make this proposal inappropriate for the road.
Page 109		<p>I strongly object this proposal. I can’t see this will help the drop offs and pick ups for the local schools.</p> <p>I am the resident of the White Horse Drive and I wasn't given enough information for the ground of this proposal based on. I would like to have the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. When were the loops put across the road to measure traffic?2. How many vehicle dis it recorded? how many of those were residential?3. When was the device calibrated for accuracy?4. How many residents have raised concerns in relation to this proposal?5. How and why this restriction would help with school drop offs and pick-ups?6. How and why this restriction would improve the traffic and road safety?7. How many vehicles will be displaced by this restriction? where does council intend those vehicles to be parked? what measure the council has done to address the issue of car crime if the residents have to park their 3 street away due limited off street parking at their own house?8. How many cars has the council calculated will be forced to use the your own parking facilities? How much revenue have you calculated will raise from this.
White Horse Drive	Epsom	I would like to have above information ASAP based on FREEDOM OF INFORMATION.
		I strongly object to the proposal for no parking from 9.30am-10.30am and 1.30pm- 2.30pm in Whitehorse Drive, Dudley Grove and Elm Grove. The residents I have spoken to agree, we feel that this can only be to get more income from parking tickets. Many of us put our cars on the street occasionally when we are expecting visitors, or workmen etc who need to park on our driveways . Some home drives only have room for 1 car, and other family members need to park their cars on the street outside their home. Does this mean that I will have to move my car, and park wherever for 1 hour twice a day or risk a parking fine? It makes no sense. We residents have NOT requested these measures. Who has, and why? We also have some staff from the hospital , who work long hours, parking here. The hospital has limited parking space, which staff have to pay for, and naturally the few who do manage to park here free, benefit. The parking arrangements we have here now work perfectly well, and I would hope you take my most strong
Whitehorse drive	Epsom	objections to the proposal into account Thank you for your consideration.
White Horse Drive	Epsom	<p>As a resident of White Horse Drive (#42) who regularly works from home in my home office with my office desk allowing me to directly observe White horse drive Mon-Friday from 0630 to 1800.</p> <p>Rosebery Girls School regularly receives lorries delivering kitchen perishables from 0645.</p> <p>These deliveries always park on the pavement (at least 2 wheels) on the school side of the road.</p> <p>From 0800-0900 and 1400-1530 there are a large number of parent vehicles dropping off children for Rosebery and St Josephs. I regularly observe poor road etiquette with cars driving along the pavement (on school side). I would also consider the speed of vehicles excessive.</p> <p>I am concerned that the proposed parking will clear White Horse Drive of speed impediments, increasing the average speed of parents rushing to drop children off.</p> <p>I am also concerned as a resident I will be significantly more impacted, as I will be required to purchase tickets (an additional 'residential tax') to allow visiting house maintenance vehicles to park.</p> <p>For me, the issue is the volume of cars entering white horse drive at peak times. not the parking. Can I request that the turning circle in white horse drive is designated a 'box junction', preventing parents from entering the turning circle unless they can exit? This would be a deterrent to the root cause, and would not impact the local residents.</p>

		<p>1. An inconvenient parking restriction for residents does not address the root cause as to why additional traffic is coming into White Horse Drive, impacting it, Elm Grove and Dudley Grove. It is a consequence of Abelea Green residents denying St Joseph's school traffic to park in St Joesph's church for the past 18 years, allowing on average 72 cars to drop off children safely and walk a few metres to their school entrance . The school is requesting parking restrictions to be relaxed in St Margaret's and St Elizabeth's Drive to enable parents to park and walk up past the church to St Joseph's school, away from any busy traffic. These roads are maintained by the council and due to Bernie Muir and Tina Mountain's insight and management, they have delayed progression by suggesting other' safer and more effective' ideas (!!) such as no waiting restrictions in White Horse Drive.</p> <p>2. Safety of hundreds of Rosebery students. Residents regularly see near misses. A school safety audit of the road was conducted on a Rosebery school inset day as a real picture demonstrates gridlock and in the event of an emergency, services would struggle to access the school, let alone residents.</p> <p>3. Increase in air pollution, school traffic starts from 8:15 for Rosebery and continues through to 8:45 for St Joseph's.</p> <p>4. Council-owned roads St Margaret's and St Elizabeth's Drive should bear the increases of people coming into the borough needing to access schools and Epsom hospital, like all other council-owned roads in this surrounding area.</p>
White Horse Drive	Epsom	
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	Part-day restrictions will mean that residents who do not use their car during the week, whilst they are away at work, will be unable to park on the road outside their own house.
		<p>1. Increasing parking provision at school-run times will make travel to school by car more attractive, increasing the likelihood of parents choosing this form of transport. This conflicts with the Council’s objective to facilitate a shift in behaviour towards more sustainable forms and patterns of transport and travel.</p> <p>2. More parking will increase the likelihood that parents park their cars, rather than ‘drop and go’. With the parking space saturated during school-run time, there will be no improvement in flow.</p> <p>3. To secure a space, parents will arrive early, then wait in their cars. This behaviour can be seen in the roads outside St Martin’s School, where families can often be found having breakfast in their cars. They leave their engines idling to keep the car warm in winter, or cool in summer. This reduces air quality and increases noise pollution.</p> <p>4. Removing parking for residents increases the likelihood that front gardens are given over to private off-street parking. This conflicts with the Borough Council’s strategy to ‘conserve and enhance the quality of the built environment.’</p> <p>5. There is no alternative parking provision in any nearby roads. If a resident is unable to park on their own drive, or has visitors, there is nowhere within any reasonable distance for them to park (the nearest feasible location would probably be a town centre car park). If, despite the above, a restriction is still considered necessary, there must at least be a residents permit scheme to avoid causing enormous inconvenience to residents.</p>
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	
Page 110		<p>I object to the proposed changes in parking arrangements on Whitehorse Drive, Dudley Grove and Elm Grove to “introduce single yellow lines to prevent all day commuter parking, but to assist with drop off and pick up from local schools”.</p> <p>Whitehorse Drive serves as primary vehicular access to Rosebery and St Joseph’s school. While I do not consider this current traffic use as safe or sustainable, I do not think the solution is to apply parking restrictions that will primarily affect the residents of the street.</p> <p>Although commuters (and hospital staff) do use Whitehorse Drive, the primary users of the road for parking are residents. The houses on Whitehorse Drive are family homes with many households having more than one car. However many of the houses only have off-road parking space for one car. As a result, many residents use the street for parking for their second cars or for visitors. Where is is expected that residents would park their cars if proposed limitations are applied to the street?</p> <p>In Oct 2018 there was a meeting about access to St Joseph’s via Whitehorse Drive that was attended by both (St Joseph and Rosebery) head teachers, a representative of the council (Tina Mountain) and Nick Healey from Surrey Highways. In the minutes of this meeting there was the comment</p> <p>“Management of parking in Whitehorse Drive to remove longer term parking and provide space for school parents to park lawfully. We discussed how this would induce more parents to drive into Whitehorse Drive, who would simply fill up any additional space provided. We also discussed how it would undermine the impressive efforts of both schools to encourage children to travel to school sustainably. We discussed the implications for residents, and need for consultation in the development of any proposal to change the parking provision. It was noted that if there was provision for two-way traffic that drivers’ speed would increase, which could lead to a road safety concern. Currently the effective single file nature of Whitehorse Drive seems to restrain drivers’ speeds very effectively.”</p> <p>This highlights other concerns I have about the plans. Parents will be encouraged to drive which undermines efforts to encourage sustainable travel. Further it may cause safety issues by encouraging faster driving, and environmental issues as I have often witnessed cars idling at drop off/pick up time.</p> <p>In summary, I feel that the introduction of parking restrictions has been suggested in light of current issues in the road which have come about due to the ongoing disputes between Abelea Green and St Joseph’s school regarding access. The plans show no consideration for the impact on the primary users of the road - the residents, who are already inconvenienced by the use of the street by those accessing the school.</p> <p>Further, the premise of the proposal “to assist with drop off and pick up” is at odds with efforts by the schools and the council to encourage sustainable travel to school.</p>
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	

Whitehorse Drive	EPSOM	<p>The scheme proposed 2 one hour restrictions to 52 weeks of the year to help people do the school run, this is pointless when school is not open. Meanwhile whilst I am at home I will be obliged to move the car twice a day, especially if I have workmen. I will not be allowed to have visitors as they can not park.</p> <p>Currently I experience no problems. It is interesting to watch the selfishness of the car drivers. There is a recent increase in traffic brought about by the change to the rules regarding St Josephs, due to the selfish behaviour of those residents.. I was pleased to see that Cllr Muir declared a prejudicial interest.</p> <p>I see that I can not drive to the Ebbisham estate to park or send visitors as they have a residents parking scheme.</p> <p>The proposal does not help people visiting the hospital, who I am happy to have parking all day. The current yellow lines serve the residents well as it shows clearly people's driveways and ensures there is a clear road. I</p> <p>If you allow no parking you risk card moving faster as there are no parked cars to consider.</p> <p>If you must go on with this scheme please can you allow residents free use of the road for skip hire as the driveway can no longer be used for cars.</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal, which I consider to be at the detriment of residents. Can we have a vote based on those residents in a similar way they did last time they proposed residents parking scheme.</p>
Whitehorse drive	Epsom	<p>The proposed new measures, do not improve the traffic situation in Whitehorse Drive but make it worse because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The new parking restrictions will enable cars to drive faster through Whitehorse Drive2. The proposals will draw more traffic to an already overcrowded street3. It will increase pollution on our street which is full of school children4. No consideration at all is given to the residents and their on-street parking needs (eg having to move their car for an hour every day and where would they need to put it during this time) <p>What is required, is changing all existing single yellow lines into double yellow lines, with proper continuous enforcement also outside of office hours - this will improve safety on our street which is currently highly unsafe to navigate for cars, bikes and pedestrians (often children) alike.</p> <p>Thank you for taking these comments into consideration.</p>
White Horse Drive	Epsom	<p>Ridiculous. The road is absolute chaos at school time. So this no waiting time deliberately avoiding peak school times does not help residents. We struggle to get parking outside our property already for our car, filled up by students, teachers and hospital workers. I would much rather it became a permit holder only road. The road cannot cope with the current traffic load.</p>
Page 111		<p>I am objecting to the proposed changes to parking on White Horse Drive for the following reasons.</p> <p>Safe and sustainable transport to school is a priority for any school and Council, the proposal for White Horse Drive will only have the opposite effect by encouraging more car journeys to the school and be detrimental to the safety of students from both St Josephs and Rosebery School.</p> <p>A large number of students walk along the lane past St Josephs School to Rosebery School therefore the flow of people must be considered to be in both directions at the end of White Horse Drive namely pedestrians from Epsom Centre / Station side and cars dropping off for St Josephs. Increasing this mix by introducing yet more cars, deliveries etc along WHD would give rise to very serious health and safety problems which makes no sense.</p> <p>In the Epsom Committee meeting minutes dated 16 September 2019 the Chairman commented that the proposals for Abelea Green / St Margaret Drive where her fellow Conservative Councillor lives would not help in accessing St Josephs School, and stated that the proposals for White Horse Drive would be safer and more effective. This is wholly misleading, it is quite obvious to anyone that Abelea Green should be considered as an option among many options for access to St Josephs School and to dismiss with no particular reason is unacceptable.</p> <p>Contrary to the Chairmans comments it would not be safer to effectively cross a current pedestrian flow with an increased vehicular access route, how can anyone even suggest that this would be safer.</p> <p>The entire character of White Horse Drive is proposed to be changed by making it impossible for residents to park outside their houses and to turn the road into a school access road.</p> <p>In fact the situation would not even be improved because parents would come and park early along the road while waiting, possibly with engines on increasing pollution, therefore traffic flow would not improve.</p> <p>As a result of traffic waiting and idling it would add to the air pollution next to two schools, how does that make sense.</p> <p>It should be recognised that this is a residential road that gives access to Rosebery School. It should not become a non-residential road that caters only for Rosebery School and St Josephs School, this does not enhance the character of the area and is contrary to Council policies.</p> <p>At a time when Governments and Councils are looking at ways of dealing with traffic, reducing the impact of cars on our environment and health the proposal for White Horse Drive is completely contradictory to this.</p> <p>Transport means more than just cars, therefore I am shocked to see such a proposal for White Horse Drive being proposed, the result of which would only encourage more car usage.</p> <p>By increasing car usage on White Horse Drive the natural speed of cars will increase substantially and create a safety problem, the current situation at least forces cars to slow down.</p> <p>The proposal is contrary to even our local MP's commitment namely: "to work with residents to preserve the character of Epsom". In no way whatsoever does the proposal for White Horse Drive do this.</p> <p>I am fully aware of the traffic issues regarding schools but I am also aware as indeed the Council will be aware that the solution is not to encourage more car usage but to look at all sustainable options.</p> <p>In summary the proposal for White Horse Drive is short sighted, unsafe, does not preserve the character of the area, does not encourage sustainable methods of transport, doesn't even work, and would be a mistake.</p>
White Horse Drive	Epsom	

White Horse Drive	Epsom	<p>Safety - the heavy traffic on Whitehorse Drive and current parked cars does at least slow down the speed with which people drive down the road. Remove some of those parked cars and vehicles travel a lot faster. Despite being parents and concerned for their own childrens well being many people seem to be in a hurry particularly when dropping off their children first thing and collecting them later. My experience of living here for 18 years would suggest that less legitimately parked cars mean cars will be more likely to speed down the road causing all manner of safety concern. If however the primary school parents were able to park on Margarets Drive then this would be less of an issue. I say this because the primary school parents are the ones who need to get to the end of Whitehorse drive to drop off their offspring. Indeed if the primary school parents used that suggested alternative we would have much fewer issues anyway.</p> <p>Additional tax - I have paid my council tax always and object to facing an additional 'residents parking tax' because Epsom cannot police its roads correctly. The current proposal would mean I would need to purchase tickets in order to park next to my own home as nobody is in a position to remove a vehicle for the restricted times if they are at home.</p> <p>I have been told by a local councillor (at a meeting about this very issue) that there are not enough traffic wardens to police the current restrictions - so this would suggest there wont be enough to police any variations. Hence law abiding residents may well buy parking tickets but those who already don't care about flouting the law will continue anyway and there is no positive impact.</p> <p>Inaccurate information - the last time Surrey Highways visited Whitehorse Drive, they picked a day that was INSET for Rosebery school hence they are working off inaccurate information and have not really assessed the impact/flow and speed of traffic now that we receive primary school students as well as secondary. This issue is not the parked cars it is the volume of cars dropping and collecting students for both schools at those crucial times</p>
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	<p>Whitehorse Drive is used by Hospital workers who cannot afford to park their car in the hospital car park, as well as visitors to the hospital for treatment. They would not be able to park their cars on the road. As a resident it is necessary for me to park my car on the road when I am having work done to the house. Lots of my neighbours cannot park both their cars on the drive as they have two cars. It is environmentally bad if every one of my neighbours instead of having grass frontage paves over their front gardens to get their cars off the road. Parking and traffic would not be a problem if the children at Rosebery School walked to the school. There is no need for parents to drive 11 to 18 old children to school unless they have a health problem. If the children walked to school it would keep them fitter and save our pollution as parents sit in their cars with the engine running while they wait for their children to come out from school. I am retired and wish to be able to invite friends and family to visit me during the day without any cost to me or hassle to them. Parking should be controlled by getting parents to stop driving their children to school, Residents should not be penalised by their selfishness.</p>
Elm Grove	Epsom	<p>I object to this because your reason is to prevent all day commuter parking but to assist with drop off and pick ups to local schools!</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. You are preventing residents all day parking. My son works in London and uses the train, he will not be able to leave his car in Elm Grove or anywhere nearby and there is no room on our drive for his car. You are penalising residents for using public transport, which is something that should be encouraged, less cars on the road during rush hour. The only solution is to pave our whole front garden which costs thousands of pounds, and paving a driveway is bad for the environment.2. You will find that it is not commuters who park in this area. It is hospital workers, and people who have appointments. If you prevent them from parking here they will have no alternative but to join the queue entering the hospital car park, whuch clearly does not have enough spaces and already causes traffic chaos on Dorking Road when the hospital is busy. This will only get worse with this new parking restriction.3. The only ones who will benefit from this proposal are the parents who drop their children at school. The school in Whitehorse Drive is a secondary school and the pupils are old enough to make their way to school using public transport You are encouraging the parents to use cars when you should In fact be discouraging this. <p>As far as I can see you are encouraging children to be driven to school and discouraging the use of public transport. You are also encouraging people to pave their front gardens. You are not thinking of the environment but just encouraging more school traffic.</p> <p>What actually is your objective? I look forward to your response.</p>

Elm Grove	Epsom	<p>I wish to object most strongly to the proposals outlined for Elm Grove, KT18 7LZ.</p> <p>The premise that these proposals would assist in drop off and pick up from local schools is totally miss-founded. Spaces on Elm Grove are taken within minutes of becoming available throughout the working day, from about 6am to 7pm. The road was completely closed to parking recently while street cleaning was taking place. Within 5 minutes of the parking ban being lifted, every parking space was taken and remained so until the evening. There is a constant stream of traffic along our cul-de-sac by drivers looking for somewhere to park, and space is not determined by all-day parkers. Since the proposed no parking times are not co-incident with school collection or pick up times, there would be just as few places available with these proposals for school traffic, which in any event should not be encouraged to use our very congested local roads.</p> <p>Worse than that, however, would be the impact on us residents. We have already had to concrete over most of our previously much admired cottage front garden to make space for our own car given the shortage of on-road parking spaces. Without removing every scrap of vegetation, which would completely destroy the general ambience of the area, we can't do more. When we have family or friends visiting (such as will shortly be the case over the case over Christmas) we take the opportunity of grabbing one of the few spaces available at night to release our drive for visitors. With these proposals, we could do nothing. Visitors would not be able to come by car without falling foul of the parking restrictions, which would be totally unreasonable.</p> <p>I have spoken to every resident in our small cul-de-sac regarding these proposals, and there is not one person who thinks they would confer any benefit. So please do not impose upon us an ill-conceived and unfair proposal. We would prefer that the existing restrictions to these proposals.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>I understand the council are considering putting in no waiting zones for our roads. This would be completely impossible for all residents as we need to park outside our houses and we have never wanted residents parking. I understand parking is a complete nightmare for us residents, and it is dangerous when the school parents park on the double yellow lines, but the council need to just police this irresponsible and selfish parking. I do ask parents to move off the double yellow lines, but get verbally abused on a regular basis, but no waiting zones are not the answer, policing the district is.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>The reason for the proposed changes are to prevent all day commuter parking and to ease in schools dropoff, however the diagrams do not reflect that. The no waiting time does not correspond to school times. While this proposal will prevent all day commuter (primarily hospital workers and Rosebery pupils), this will also affect residents who need to park on the street if they have workmen lined up, or more than one car, or need to hire a skip etc - what are they going to do? Drive to a town carpark and then walk back the 20 minutes - causing unnecessary environmental damage etc.</p> <p>Previous parking changes (on the corner where there are no waiting and no entry except in case of emergency lines in place) are being ignored today - daily! Particularly by Rosebery parents and schools of motoring picking up pupils - who should know better!</p> <p>My objection is the impact this will cause to residents as there is no realistic solution being given. A solution needs to be found which does not cost residents anything!</p> <p>This has come about due to changes in access to St Joseph's school. While the previous arrangements had been in place for several years, the original planning for the school should have considered how the children would get to school before it was approved. I don't see why this is now 'our' problem! We get enough verbal abuse from Rosebery parents while they're waiting illegally (blocking our access in and out, and obscuring our view on the corners as well)...why can't this be addressed first of all (I fail to see why pupils 11 + need to be dropped at the school door as they are in the catchment area to start with!) I look forward to hearing your solution to the inconvenience this will cause and hope to hear how you're planning to enforce the current restrictions which are being ignored - so a waste of tax payers money!</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>There is already extremely limited parking for the residents of Dudley Grove and their visitors which is not helped by being close to two schools and a hospital. Single line parking will make things even more difficult for us than they already are. We already have nowhere for visitors to our home to park. Currently, visitors park across our drive which wouldn't be an option with single line parking. Our nanny needs to be able to get our toddler out of her car seat safely and quickly and should be able to do this outside our house, rather than having to park some distance away. The solution to the parking issue is having the residents of all areas surrounding the two schools accommodating parents in dropping off their children rather than putting pressure on only one or two areas. St Joseph's school should not have to change their admissions policy due to alleged environmental concerns because the residents of Abelea Green are unwilling to lift their ban on allowing cars to access St Josephs via St Margaret Drive. The residents of Abelea Green need to amend their legal ban on cars accessing St Joseph's via St Margaret Drive so that the traffic volume can be shared by Rosebank, St Margaret Drive and White Horse Drive/Dudley Grove.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>We are a household of 4 working adults (as grown children cannot afford to move out). Despite paved driveway, we do not have enough parking for our household. New proposals will mean we will not be able to use the road.</p> <p>Currently, if we expect visitors, we are able to park our vehicles on the road the night before, freeing the driveway for visitors/ deliveries/ or if work being done at home. New proposals prevent this.</p> <p>New proposals will encourage even more parents to drive children to Rosebery and St Josephs schools causing even more traffic in an already dangerously congested road.</p> <p>Existing single yellow line works well of existing residents of Dudley Grove. More restrictions are unnecessary and a waste of tax payers money.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>I believe the proposed plans are not practical, nor logical. It will provide no benefit to the local residents of the area who already face a huge issue of parking as it is due to the close proximity of the hospital, Rosebery School and St Joesph's. Forcing the residents to pay to park in this location, when those who don't live here don't have to do illustrates the extent in which the community will NOT benefit from these proposed plans - it is not thought through at all. It will not deter the parents from the schools nor the staff and patients of the hospital to park in the area. There is limited parking as it is amongst residents on the road, having to reserve a spaces with a cone. People do not have enough space/have a drive way to park all their cars on. Proposing this payment does not guarantee a space for all your cars on the road, nor does it allow you to have friends or family visit. I will be extremely dissatisfied if this ruling is passed.</p>

Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>The new proposals are focussed on the traffic generated by St. Joseph’s School. However in White Horse Drive, Rosebery School traffic is the main cause of congestion and pollution at school start and finish times because it is a narrow culdesac. Outside of these times we do not experience traffic congestion to speak of. The proposals would deter all-day parkers, but the intent seems to be to clear the streets to allow freer flow of traffic at start time, and more space for parents to wait later. Firstly this will only encourage more parents to drive their children to school, which is contrary to the views of the Highways Committee. Secondly, it does nothing for the residents who will be penalised for leaving their cars in their own streets during restricted times. Also their visitors and tradesmen. It would be better to include residents’ parking spaces as is proposed for Ebbisham Road.</p> <p>We agree school traffic should be limited, but suggest there should be drop-off spaces on the Dorking Road so parents need not enter White Horse Drive, and the Abelea Green route to St. Joseph's should be reopened by Council action.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>I / We absolutely do not agree with the parking proposals for Dudley Grove and surrounding roads and believe you are wrong with many of your assumptions.</p> <p>You say it will prevent all day commuter parking. Most of the vehicles in Dudley Grove are owned and parked by residents, not all day commuters. This scheme will mainly penalise residents.</p> <p>The Council should be doing everything they can and have a duty to discourage parents and carers dropping children to school, especially bearing in mind, one of the schools is a High school. This scheme proposal does not help with the health benefits of walking and public transport to the individuals, local residents, traffic congestion, pollution etc.</p> <p>Residents who do not use their cars on a daily basis would no longer be able to leave their cars parked on the road and would not be able to find suitable parking anywhere nearby. What are we to do with our cars?</p> <p>The houses are older 1930's properties with narrow driveways and narrow pavement crossover slopes and in most cases, no facility to park more than 1 car off road. Why should we have to turn our front gardens into a paved parking space. This is no good for the environment. These are 3-4 bedroom family homes, many with 3 vehicles. Under the new scheme parking would be impossible for residents.</p> <p>The scheme would result in further traffic on narrow cul-de-sac roads, with vehicles turning all over the roads and speeding looking for parking spaces running the risk of pedestrians crossing the roads possibly getting injured or worse.</p> <p>It is bad enough at present. These roads are not wide enough for turning. Not to mention the fact that many non residents would be fighting over spaces and getting there early to secure a space and often in these cases leaving engines running whilst waiting.</p> <p>There are too many incidents of cars of non residents reversing and turning into drives and over pavements and onto grassed front gardens, as witnessed by us on many occasions, especially at school times. This would get far worse under this proposed scheme and would be dangerous.</p> <p>Increased pollution by increased vehicles driving around looking for parking spaces.</p> <p>Most Rosebery parents who drop their daughters to school should be encouraged to drop off on the Dorking Road, or elsewhere in safe places they do not need to drop them right outside or near the school in our road and should not even be driving into Whitehorse Drive, Elm Grove and Dudley Grove. St Josephs parents should not be using these roads and should be using St Margaret Drive, which is adopted and have access via Rosebank. Again, why are they not being encouraged to walk. Residents should come first and not be penalised for a weak scheme which is not in keeping with modern day thinking on safety, pollution, health promotion etc</p> <p>The scheme will encourage more vehicles into narrow roads. We will have no where to put our own cars, in our own roads and totally reject the scheme.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>I / We absolutely do not agree with the parking proposals for Dudley Grove and surrounding roads and believe you are wrong with many of your assumptions.</p> <p>You say it will prevent all day commuter parking. Most of the vehicles in Dudley Grove are owned and parked by residents, not all day commuters. This scheme will mainly penalise residents.</p> <p>The Council should be doing everything they can and have a duty to discourage parents and carers dropping children to school, especially bearing in mind, one of the schools is a High school. This scheme proposal does not help with the health benefits of walking and public transport to the individuals, local residents, traffic congestion, pollution etc.</p> <p>Residents who do not use their cars on a daily basis would no longer be able to leave their cars parked on the road and would not be able to find suitable parking anywhere nearby. What are we to do with our cars?</p> <p>The houses are older 1930's properties with narrow driveways and narrow pavement crossover slopes and in most cases, no facility to park more than 1 car off road. Why should we have to turn our front gardens into a paved parking space. This is no good for the environment. These are 3-4 bedroom family homes, many with 3 vehicles. Under the new scheme parking would be impossible for residents.</p> <p>The scheme would result in further traffic on narrow cul-de-sac roads, with vehicles turning all over the roads and speeding looking for parking spaces running the risk of pedestrians crossing the roads possibly getting injured or worse.</p> <p>It is bad enough at present. These roads are not wide enough for turning. Not to mention the fact that many non residents would be fighting over spaces and getting there early to secure a space and often in these cases leaving engines running whilst waiting.</p> <p>There are too many incidents of cars of non residents reversing and turning into drives and over pavements and onto grassed front gardens, as witnessed by us on many occasions, especially at school times. This would get far worse under this proposed scheme and would be dangerous.</p> <p>Increased pollution by increased vehicles driving around looking for parking spaces.</p> <p>Most Rosebery parents who drop their daughters to school should be encouraged to drop off on the Dorking Road, or elsewhere in safe places they do not need to drop them right outside or near the school in our road and should not even be driving into Whitehorse Drive, Elm Grove and Dudley Grove. St Josephs parents should not be using these roads and should be using St Margaret Drive, which is adopted and have access via Rosebank. Again, why are they not being encouraged to walk. Residents should come first and not be penalised for a weak scheme which is not in keeping with modern day thinking on safety, pollution, health promotion etc</p> <p>The scheme will encourage more vehicles into narrow roads. We will have no where to put our own cars, in our own roads and totally reject the scheme.</p>

		<p>Drawing 70- Whitehorse Drive, Elm Grove and Dudley Grove.</p> <p>Introduce a single yellow line to prevent all day commuter parking, but to assist with drop off and pick up from local schools</p> <p>Where in the above statement is there consideration for the residents who live and park in Whitehorse Drive?</p> <p>Not only the parking but the congestion in Whitehorse Drive during the morning and afternoon school runs has always been terrible. Despite letters to the parents/carers of the Rosebery pupils (speaking as past parents to a pupil of Rosebery) they continue to drive down the full length of this cul-de-sac, unable to judge the gaps and size of their cars and unable to keep the flow of traffic moving. This has now been exasperated by St Joseph’s School telling the parents/carers to use Whitehorse Drive to park and drop off their children, although we were promised that the primary school children would not be using the road until after the Rosebery school run, which ends around 8.40. Alas I can confirm that the St Joseph’s parents choose to drive the full length of the road from 8.20 onwards, causing more congestion and total blockages, road rage and horns beeping angrily, with a blatant disregard to the residents of all the roads.</p> <p>By placing a single yellow line as per drawing 70 you are penalising the residents of all three roads for the sake of the schools; pupils of Rosebery are old enough to walk the length of this road- no Rosebery parent need drive down Whitehorse Drive. St Joseph’s parents need to be able to walk to school- or consider parking elsewhere and walk along Whitehorse Drive. The argument that the pupils have too far to travel is not good enough. When parents apply to enrol their children at St Josephs they need to take in to account the logistics of their journey if they are not local people and consider how to get there by public transport. St Joseph’s need to consider taking more pupils from the Epsom area and not being elitist and only allow Catholic children to attend. The school should be for the local area, not for Catholics in all the neighbouring areas.</p> <p>I oppose a yellow line in the areas shown and for the time constraints given. If I park in my own road for the evening and am ill the following day, I will have to move my car and find somewhere else to park for the day. Alternatively, family and friends visiting during these periods will have nowhere to park.</p> <p>My suggestion would be to have “Residents parking only. Mon – Sat 9.30am-2.30pm, 4.30-6.30pm” in all of the areas outlined. This would alleviate the amount of cars in the road without penalising the residents.</p> <p>Without doubt the safety of the children attending Rosebery and St Josephs is paramount, unfortunately it is the parents of these children who are actually causing the danger. It would be helpful if both schools behaved respectfully towards the residents by trying to ease the situation by being visible on both school runs, helping to diffuse any situations. Shame on them for not trying to address the matter like this in the first place rather than just going to the council and asking for the parking situation to be changed in their favour.</p> <p>I also feel there would be a benefit to have a speed limit in the Road of 20 mph and/or speed bumps, to slow down the weekend and evening traffic- which is for clubs that rent out Rosebery School.</p>
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	
South Street	Epsom	Restricted parking for local residents and unfair imposition of cost to park in own neighbourhood. Many properties without own parking will be unduly penalised.
Page 115		<p>The parking limitations in these roads are detrimental to the residents and their visitors of these roads and of neighbouring Dorking Road properties, the residents of the latter having little or no parking on their own road. As a resident of a listed Dorking Road property with limited off-street parking, we and our visitors are often reliant upon parking in these roads. We own a further property 71b Dorking Road which has no off-street parking facility, the occupants of which, and their visitors, need to be able to park on these roads throughout the days.</p> <p>With inadequate parking being available at Epsom District Hospital for staff, out-patients and visitors, the removal of parking facilities in these roads for parts of each day will exacerbate the existing lack of availability of sufficient parking facilities in this general area. Residents of these roads and of Dorking Road, along with their visitors, should instead have entitlement to park throughout each day on these roads without charge, or this becoming a cash cow for the local authority.</p> <p>This need not prevent parts of White Horse Drive, especially to the north of Dudley Grove, being available for parents of St Joseph's School and Roseberry to drop off and collect their children attending these schools. This and parking rights for residents and their visitors are mutually compatible, for example through the issue of different windscreen permits to each and every one of the categories of road user to which I have referred above.</p>
	Dorking Road	Epsom
		<p>The proposals will effectively empty the roads in Whitehorse drive prior to the school runs. This will encourage more road use to schools (I think in direct contradiction to the stated council policy). It will also encourage greater vehicle speeds (with no parked cars two way traffic) and greater vehicle movements in a very busy road. With any reasonable foresight this will increase the risks and dangers to the children who attend both of the nearby schools. On the basis of increased risks I don't understand how any reasonable person could conclude this proposal has any merit.</p>
Whitehorse drive	Epsom	
		<p>My wife and son and daughter all have a car</p> <p>We park two on our drive.</p> <p>I use public transport to travel to work.</p> <p>I leave my car outside my property and the other car over the drive access during the day.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	New parking restrictions will not help us only make things worse.
Dudley Grove	Epsom	I strongly object to parking proposal

		<p>It encourages parents to drop off</p> <p>It is anti environment. It contradicts council's climate change motion / supposed commitment to a cleaner Borough</p> <p>Expensive housing = more people living in houses. Need the extra parking for these and our visitors. It is not fair in residents as it works in favour of non residents.</p> <p>We do not want expensive parking permits either if that is the idea?</p> <p>Fine as things are with yellow lines brought in 9 years ago. They work!</p> <p>No issue with commuters / hospital workers or its visitors as we can claim the parking places in advance if we want to at present for the next day.</p> <p>You need to revisit St Joseph's admission policy which is the real issue in bringing traffic in from other boroughs. You will be supporting these parents not the residents.</p> <p>This is mad and an expensive needless project for this area and must stop. Enough has already been wasted on the 1 way system revamp and the market area. Use the money for policing or health care or or a subsidy to public transport or fixing pot holes even.</p> <p>I strongly oppose this and will fight to protect our area from the extra pollution and unfairness that these parking controls will bring.</p> <p>Stop now and use the money more wisely for goodness sake!</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>Sorry, but you need to wake up.</p>
Dudley grove	Epsom	<p>I don't believe it makes any sense or will have any benefit to have this system in place. Residents will have to pay to park in the location in menial hours, and with Dudley grove's location next to Rosebery school there is a high flux of parents in cars before and after school hours, where they could park in these locations even though they are not paying. Especially for the nights where Rosebery host evening events and there are hundreds of cars going to and thro across white horse drive and Dudley grove. Moreover, people who work in Epsom General Hospital can use this space to park for free, whereas the residents will be paying for a parking service they can't even use as it has been taken by someone else. It would be highly appreciated if this ruling would not be passed, as I am expressing the views of common consensus of our community along White horse Drive and Dudley Grove.</p>
Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>With Students and Staff of Rosebery & St. Josephs school and Epsom Hospital parking and blocking our small congested Cul de sac of Dudley Grove. We don't want to encourage more people to park on Dudley Grove with your proposed changes - it should be for residents ONLY.</p> <p>We have many parents parking on Dudley Grove for up to an hour waiting for their child to finish school with their engines idling - polluting the air</p> <p>Encouraging NON Residents to park in out small cul de sac will create MORE Pollution and EVEN MORE traffic congestion. With even more Non residents parking and blocking our driveways.</p> <p>We need less CONGESTION around my house NOT encouraging MORE people to Park on Dudley Grove. this small cul de sac should be parking for residents only 24/7.</p> <p>Our Health and SAFETY is at stake just to allow NON residents to Park on our RESIDENTIAL street. You need to encourage people to walk NOT give NON residents FREE Parking .</p> <p>St Josephs should change it's admission policy to allow local children that can walk to school.</p>
Page 116 Dudley Grove	Epsom	<p>Parking on Dudley Grove should be for residents only. Allowing non residents to park on Dudley Grove will increase congestion, traffic, pollution and most of all increase risk of pedastrian accidents.</p> <p>I believe your parking proposals will increase traffic to the area...and this will lead to an increase a risk to students of Rosebery school and local residents, and the increased pedestrians walking to St Josephs school.</p> <p>I strongly object to your proposals for parking at Dudley Grove...it should be for residents only!!</p>
Whitehorse drive	Epsom	<p>The proposal effectively removes all our parking. Where would we park near our house if this is invoked? I'm appalled at this proposal. Sometimes I get the train to work and need to leave the car on the road. I would no longer be able to do this. Where will I park?</p>
Silvergate	Epsom	<p>We need to keep some free</p> <p>Local parking for regular hospital appointments for people who cannot afford the high parking fees.</p>
Winey close	Chessington	<p>I am a staff member at Epsom general hospital and drive to work in the winter time. Between this road and Ebbisham road I have been abused by residents whilst parking my park. White horse Drive in particular I have been asked three times to move my car by residents although their drive has been empty. One particular resident parks on the public road every day and has a drive which could easily fit a minimum six cars.</p> <p>Other residents use cones to save 2/3 spaces. I feel saddened that residents begrudge staff from parking in these roads but expect the hospital to be fully staffed should they need to visit. NHS staff are already underpaid without also having to buy a parking permit in which they will also struggle to find parking spaces. There are plenty of spaces for residents and staff to both use this road of residents use the drives that they already have room to park in.</p>

Drawing 70 - Whitehorse Drive, Dudley Grove, Elm Grove - Support		
Whitehorse Drive	epsom	This will free up Whitehorse Drive for parents at Rosebery and St Josephs schools and hopefully reduce traffic jam for residents at school drop off and pickup times when the road can be a log jam for everyone.
Dirdene grove	Epsom	I support this move on health and safety grounds for staff and students of at josephs and rosebery school as its congested most of the school hours

Drawing 70 - Whitehorse Drive, Dudley Grove, Elm Grove - Other comments		
St. Theresa Close	Epsom	<p>For the same reasons as I object to increased parking in St Elizabeth Drive I also object to changes in Whitehorse Drive.</p> <p>We are not here to provide St Josephs school with solutions to its admissions policy. If residents of that road however see it as a better option than all day parking from the hospital and commuters that's their choice and I support them.</p> <p>However overall, we need to cut back on cars coming into Epsom. Take a good look at the Dorking road in the rush hour and 25% of those cars are on the school run. This means cars are using rat runs behind the hospital and in Woodcote Road.</p> <p>This growth of car use simply needs to stop.</p>
Whitehorse Drive	Epsom	<p>Although I welcome parking control in my road, I don't consider the approach of introducing single yellow lines to prevent all day commuters but assisting with drop off and pick up from local schools, to be correct.</p> <p>The main issue from my perspective is not all day commuter parking, and the focus should be on the real problem which is parents dropping off/picking up their children from school.</p> <p>This has significantly increased since the opening of the additional exit at St Josephs School and has had the negative effect of increasing the levels of pollution schoolchildren and residents are exposed to. It has also significantly increased the risk of accidents, as many cyclists/pedestrians will concur.</p> <p>I am therefore somewhat perplexed that Epsom & Ewell considers it the correct approach to actively assist with the school drop off/pick up. This directly opposes organisations such as UNICEF and the approach taken by a large number of other local authorities, who have taken measures to reduce the school run due to the significant amount of expert research proving the negative consequences of it. They have done this by closing school streets to cars, other than residents, at the beginning and end of the school day and working in conjunction with the Living Streets project.</p> <p>I therefore hope that Epsom & Ewell will choose to incorporate into their parking review measures to reduce the school run as opposed to actively encouraging it, which appears to be the case with this current proposal.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank