## Surrey Outdoor Learning and Development – Phase 1, Thames Young Mariners

## Annex 1: Options considered

OPTION	DESCRIPTION and OUTLINE	PROS	CONS
1	Retain as many buildings as possible  Make the most of current layout and buildings rather than fully bespoke design  Retain as many buildings as possible  Make the most of current layout and buildings rather than fully bespoke design	Most cost-effective option.     May cause the least disruption on site during build period.	<ul> <li>Mainly revamping existing buildings with additional extension which does not address the needs of the service.</li> <li>None of the buildings are listed as in good condition.</li> <li>This option is dependent on detailed structural survey.</li> <li>Does not increase capacity to make the financial returns.</li> <li>Limited flexibility for multiple group use.</li> <li>Will have to work with existing layout which is not user-friendly for multiple groups on site.</li> <li>Additional cost to ensure all areas are fully Disability Discrimination Act (DDA) compliant.</li> <li>Reduces opportunity to develop sustainable features.</li> <li>Does not deal with subsidence issues on site.</li> </ul>
Page 2	Retain some existing buildings     Retain only buildings which are in a good condition, rather than fully bespoke design     Dependent on a detailed structural survey	<ul> <li>More flexibility than the basic Option 1.</li> <li>May reduce the disruption on site during build period.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>None of the buildings are listed as in good condition.</li> <li>Several structural unknowns could impact significantly on the cost causing further disruption to service delivery.</li> <li>Cost to ensure all areas are fully DDA compliant.</li> <li>Will have to work with existing layout which is not user-friendly for multiple groups on site.</li> <li>Does not offer the required standard of camping facilities, reducing revenue potential.</li> <li>Does not deal with subsidence issues on site.</li> </ul>
3	All new build – RECOMMENDED OPTION  • A fully bespoke design	<ul> <li>All buildings are new and are fit for purpose.</li> <li>Offers most fit for purpose accommodation.</li> <li>It enables the capacity-building and growth in service delivery for the future.</li> <li>Carefully designed layout of the site maximises earning potential.</li> <li>Buildings will be more energy efficient.</li> </ul>	Highest cost option.     Will cause maximum disruption during build period but the site will still be operational, taking health and safety requirements into consideration.

OPTION	DESCRIPTION and OUTLINE	PROS	CONS
Page 154		<ul> <li>Flexibility to hire out individual buildings to different groups – either schools or businesses.</li> <li>Economies of scale along with maximum flexibility to achieved greatest financial return.</li> <li>New welcoming layout manages all aspect of safety in a systematic way.</li> <li>Creates self-contained areas for different customers on site at the same time.</li> <li>Learning spaces maximise the views of the site over the lake.</li> <li>Will enable full accessibility for all areas and activity ensuring SOLD can significantly expand its work with vulnerable young people and families.</li> <li>Allows for sustainable features to be incorporated into the design.</li> <li>Allows for extended shower, toilet and changing facilities to increase overall capacity every day.</li> <li>DDA requirements will be factored into the new build.</li> <li>The design and new-build approach will support resolving the current subsidence issues on the site. Additional Estate Bank Re-enforcement works will also be carried out separately. The all-new build will have foundations designed to meet the requirements of the land and will be in line with industry standards.</li> </ul>	
4	All new build (alternative approach)  • A partially bespoke design	<ul> <li>More cost-effective than Option 3.</li> <li>Faster build period.</li> <li>DDA requirements will be factored into the new build.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Less flexible accommodation layout limits diversity of customers.</li> <li>Less flexibility to hire out buildings to external groups.</li> <li>On site staff accommodation integrated into the single building will not offer required privacy.</li> <li>Does not offer improved camping facilities.</li> <li>Does not offer enough changing, toilet and shower facilities.</li> <li>Reduces revenue earning potential.</li> <li>As per Option 3, will cause maximum disruption during build period but the site will still be operational, taking health and safety requirements into consideration.</li> </ul>

OPTION	DESCRIPTION and OUTLINE	PROS	CONS
5	Option 5 developed to capture the enduser needs with respect to financial constraints	<ul> <li>Is affordable within existing funding allocation.</li> <li>Offers extended changing, toilet, shower facilities.</li> <li>Offers main building and catering facilities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Does not deal with current subsidence issues on site.</li> <li>Does not include as many accommodation facilities reducing revenue earning potential.</li> <li>Additional cost to ensure all areas are fully DDA compliant.</li> <li>Will cause significant disruption during build period but it is expected that parts of the site will be operational, subject to health and safety requirements.</li> </ul>

This page is intentionally left blank